THE PURPOSE AND ARRANGEMENT OF THE MANUAL

The purpose of this manual is to consolidate into one document the System Design Life Tables which are presented in the Department of Energy Condition Assessment Survey Design and Inspection Standards Manuals. These manuals consist of twelve volumes that breakdown the systems normally found in a typical building or structure. By consolidating the System Design Life Tables into one volume Sites can easily find the information necessary to determine if the building or system component has reached the end of its useful life. This information can be used to determine exactly where limited funds will provide the best return. The best return on investment can either be the repair of the deficiencies found or the complete replacement of the system or component.

This manual is separated into four main sections. The first section is an introduction describing the importance of Life Cycle analysis when evaluating a building or structure. The introduction discusses the advantages of using Life Cycle data and the cost savings that can be realized over the life of the structure. In today's climate of limited funding, dollars need to be directed to where they can realize the largest return. Failure to address Life Cycle can result in higher costs or the premature loss and deterioration of the structure.

The second section consists of the consolidated Design Life Tables from the DOE CAS Manuals. The tables are arranged in order of the twelve systems which divide the system and components found in typical structures. The items on the lists were developed based on industry standards and manufacturer data. The tables are meant as a representation of commonly found items and do not constitute the entire list of items that may be encountered. If an item is not found in the lists, select an item that is similar or closely resembles the item under consideration.

The third section consists of Life Cycle Asset Management Performance Measures under development. These measures are included for informational purposes only and are not to be interpreted as a directive or requirement. The purpose of the measures is to illustrate how Life Cycle Asset Management can be use to meet Site requirements. The tables indicate measures that can be used to determine the impact and success in accomplishing the objectives defined. This information can be useful for determining and documenting the successful use of Life Cycle Asset Management techniques to maximize return on investments.

The fourth section consists of references used in the compilation of this manual. This section is self explanatory and is included to credit sources and allow the user to research further if necessary.

INTRODUCTION

A building is an investment made by owners in anticipation of the shelter and services it will provide to the people and the activities it will house. With proper management of this investment, returns may continue for hundreds of years, but failure to recognize the continuing costs of ownership can lead to premature loss of services and deterioration of the building and high costs for the building's users. Some materials and building systems are particularly reliable or durable and repay their higher initial costs with savings in future operation and maintenance efforts. Other materials or systems may be selected because their lower initial costs meet the limits of available construction budgets and, with proper use, are likely to deliver entirely satisfactory service. Sometimes safety, security, or aesthetic concerns warrant both higher initial and future costs. Designers and owners of buildings recognize that there are many such choices and trade-offs among initial construction costs, recurring operations and maintenance (O&M) costs, and building performance. Decisions about a building's design, construction, operation, and maintenance can in principle, be made such that the building performs well over its entire life cycle and the total costs incurred over this life cycle are minimized.

In practice, defining and controlling the life-cycle costs are difficult. The future behavior of materials and mechanical and electrical systems is uncertain, as are the future uses of the building, the environmental conditions to which it may be exposed, and the financial and economic conditions that influence relationships between present and future costs. Unexpected use of the building, unusual events such as storms or earthquakes, poor construction practices, changes of ownership, budgetary constraints, or financial conditions may alter the strategy for minimizing life-cycle cost. Finding the best course of action and assuring that it is followed are challenges that continue as long as a building is in use, challenges that life-cycle cost analysis can help decision makers to meet.

Life-cycle cost analysis is an economic evaluation tool for choosing among alternative building investments and operating strategies by comparing all of the significant differential costs of ownership over a given time period in equivalent economic terms. An effective life-cycle cost analysis depends on having a reasonable range of possible alternatives that is likely to deliver equally satisfactory service to owners and users over a given service life. For projects whose scale does not warrant explicit development of design alternatives, design criteria and guide specifications can help assure that principles of life-cycle cost analysis are reflected in specific designs.

Substantial obstacles to implementing life-cycle cost control in practice include (1) failure of designers to include lifecycle cost goals in their design criteria; (2) failure of owners or managers with short-term responsibility for a building to consider effectively the longer-term impact of their decisions on the building's O&M requirements; (3) general desire of many decision makers to minimize their initial expenditures in order to increase short term return on investment, meet budgetary restrictions, or both; and (4) lack of data and accepted industry standards for describing the maintenance effect and operational performance of building components. Managers from federal, state, and local government agencies encounter these obstacles in legislative budget procedures; procurement regulations that limit design specificity to enhance competition; and administrative separation of responsibilities for design, construction, and maintenance.

Several decades of experience with highways, and more recently bridges, suggest that improved life-cycle cost management for public buildings can be achieved through development and application of systematically structured and comprehensive life-cycle costs management of public buildings. In the near term, design criteria may be a practical tool available for controlling life-cycle costs, but over the longer term there is a broader range of actions that each agency responsible for these buildings should take:

- Formally recognize control of life-cycle cost as an essential and effective element of the agency's mission.
- Include explicit assessment of design alternative that influence life-cycle cost as an element of the scope of work and fees of agency designers.
- Assure that value engineering programs and construction contract incentives and other procurement mechanisms demonstrate savings in expected life-cycle cost rather than construction cost only.
- Direct designers to document clearly their design decisions made to control life-cycle cost and the subsequently expected operating consequences for each facility.

INTRODUCTION

- Implement cross-training and staff exchange of design and operations and maintenance management personnel to assure that life-cycle cost is controlled at all stages in the facility's service life and are applied in practice.
- Establish a life-cycle cost management system to maintain O&M data and design decisions in a form that supports operations and maintenance.
- Assign accountability for maintenance and repair at the highest levels in the agency. Responsibilities should include effective use of maintenance and repair funds and other actions required to validate prior decisions on facility life-cycle cost management decisions.

Public buildings are assets needed to serve government purposes. The public is called upon to invest in these assets and pay the costs of their upkeep. Minimizing the total costs of ownership is the most efficient use of the public's resources to obtain the services these assets provide. Overcoming the economic, technical, and political obstacles to meaningful control of the total costs of public buildings will enhance productivity and the public's return on its investment.

Agencies' managers generally recognize the need for facilities that serve efficiently the purposes of government and seek ways to overcome obstacles to effective cost management.

To the extent that facilities are built and used by the same institution, the same concerns apply in the private sector as well. Buildings are an investment in the future, and substantial expenditures of funds for design and construction are made by a building's owner in anticipation of the shelter and services the building will provide to the people and activities it will house. Structures around the world demonstrate that the returns on such investment may continue for decades.

These returns are seldom achieved without continuing effort. Owners must make expenditures for labor and material to operate and maintain a building, expenditures that continue until the building is demolished or abandoned. Structures around the world also demonstrate that failure to make these expenditures effectively can lead to premature deterioration or loss of services and damage to the facility, expose occupants to unsafe and unhealthy conditions, and impose additional costs on the building's users.

Some materials and building systems are particularly durable and repay their higher initial costs with savings in future operating and maintenance (O&M) expenditures. Other materials or systems may be selected because their lower initial costs meet the limits of available construction budgets and, with proper use, are likely to deliver satisfactory service. Some design choices raise the cost of construction, operations, or both, but also increase the service productivity or revenue received from the completed building.

Designers and owners of buildings often recognize that there are such choices and trade-offs between initial construction costs and recurring O&M costs and that decisions about a building's design, construction, operation, and maintenance can be made--in principle--so that the building performs well over a specified period of time and the total of all costs incurred over that period will be minimized.

In practice, defining the design options and operating strategies that will lead to the lowest life-cycle costs is difficult and subject to uncertainties. The behavior of materials, and mechanical and electrical systems must be forecasted, along with the likely uses of the building and the environmental conditions to which it may be exposed. Financial and economic assumptions and the period of time over which the analysis is made will influence the results. Analysts have devised a variety of ways to deal with these uncertainties.

However, a variety of factors may subvert effective action. Sometimes budget constraints impose pressures to reduce construction costs and lead in turn to design choices that raise O&M requirements. Similar pressures in the planning and design stages may underlie neglect to perform analyses and reduced effort to develop feasible alternative that would save money in the long run. Sometimes O&M efforts may not achieve results envisioned in design because of later budgetary pressures, lack of staff understanding of the designer's intent, poor information, or human error. Fires, earthquakes, violent storms, or other unusual events may damage facilities. Unanticipated use

INTRODUCTION

of the building, changes of ownership, or financial conditions may alter the strategy that would minimize life-cycle cost.

Because of these factors, facilities designers, builders, owners, and managers must continue working to control total costs of ownership throughout a facility's service life. Life-cycle cost analysis is typically used in planning and design, but other opportunities for using life-cycle cost analysis lie beyond these early states.

The most difficult obstacles to controlling total costs of ownership are those raised by administrative procedures and managerial or political decisions driven by short-term gains. Budgeting processes that divorce capital and operating expenditures make it difficult to identify and manage total costs of ownership. Their limited tenure may encourage senior managers and elected officials to value immediate results over long-run efficiencies. Competing public demands for government action may push these officials to shift resources away from facilities needs and toward those issues that attract strong constituencies. These obstacles impose cost burdens on the public and must be overcome if the greatest return on the public's assets is to be achieved.

Budgeting for the maintenance and repair of assets is an important role of facility managers within the DOE. A successful, valid budget request should be based on hard data which clearly shows the purpose for which the requested funding will be used. It should contain sufficient detail to clearly support the functional objectives and be able to withstand critical analyses.

Budgets should be based on measurable performance criteria and planned workloads. It is no longer sufficient to request last year's allocation plus an additional percentage to cover inflation or other cost growth. Budgeting must be based on an analysis of the functions to be performed, identifying the quantities of work to be performed, with details on unit prices, labor costs, transportation, materials, special contracts, and all other aspects which show how the funds will be spent.

Performance budgeting for maintenance and repairs requires a comprehensive data base including building identification numbers, function, size of facility in square feet, age of facility, ownership (owned or leased), specific project data (scope and estimated cost) for contract work, and work priority information. Budget data should be identified by Site, Area, Asset, Tenant, etc..

For that portion of the budget covering in-house operations, the budget should cover personnel staffing, grade levels, salary rates, materials, equipment and tools, transportation costs, miscellaneous minor contracts, and other such costs. Data should be provided showing the workload in terms of the number of assets, and square feet to be maintained.

Without a structured approach, budget submissions cannot be readily analyzed or reviewed, and financial allocations become a matter of negotiation based on prior year allocations.

GENERAL

Life expectancy of any given item is a function of numerous factors. The Standard (nominal) Design Life of a given System Assembly/Component is defined as the projected service life measured from the date of installation to the date of replacement. The task of the Facility/Asset Manager is to balance this Design Life with the expected Service Life, that is, the point at which the item no longer serves its purpose and must be replaced. Manufacturers frequently have data which indicates a range of years of service that might be expected from their products. This data is usually based on historical trends observed over time for identical or similar items. In addition to manufacturers' data, there are publications which address life expectancy of facility components based on a variety of data from numerous companies or organizations that routinely maintain such data. In general, this data has great validity as a base line to use in projecting replacement needs for budgeting purposes based on Design Life.

As a reference in this guide, tables of commonly accepted design life data with replacement parameters for Work Breakdown Structures (WBS) are provided. Judgment must be applied to the numbers presented to adjust for specific system operation and maintenance standards. The location and environment of the system/component and its relationship with other system/components will also affect replacement life and should be taken into account when considering the subject. The numbers listed are based on industry standards where possible and if no standards are available a best guess estimate based on past experiencehas been used. Design life is an estimated number only and will vary based on maintenance frequency and system use.

Table A on the next page illustrates key column headings. The remaining tables are listed by volume and indicate standard design life and replacement quantities of standard system assembly/components.

Table One (Volume 0.01 - Foundations & Footings) Table Two (Volume 0.02 - Substructure) Table Three (Volume 0.03 - Superstructure) Table Four (Volume 0.04 - Exterior Closure) Table Five (Volume 0.05 - Roofing) Table Six (Volume 0.06 - Interior Finishes and Construction) Table Seven (Volume 0.07 - Conveying Systems) Table Eight (Volume 0.08 - Mechanical) Table Eight (Volume 0.09 - Electrical) Table Ten (Volume 0.10 - Production/Lab/Other Equipment [Future]) Table Eleven (Volume 0.11 - Specialty Systems) Table Twelve (Volume 0.12 - Site Work) Table Thirteen (Volume 0.13 - Other Structures and Facilities)

| TABLE A | | |
|------------------|--------------|----------|
| | Replacement | Percent |
| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Life, Years* | Replaced |

| Note 1: | Used to document the replacement life* of significant WBS System Assembly/Components. | |
|---------|--|--|
| Note 2: | | Used to estimate percent of WBS System Assembly/ Component cost replaced at the year specified (measured from installation date to end date specified by the replacement life period*). |

TABLE ONE

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.01 FOUNDATIONS & FOOTINGS | | |

| Raft concrete slab foundation | 200 | 100 |
|--|-----|-----|
| Concrete grade beams | 200 | 100 |
| Cast-in-place concrete footings | 200 | 100 |
| Cast-in-place concrete foundation walls | 150 | 100 |
| Precast concrete foundation walls | 150 | 100 |
| Masonry foundation walls | 100 | 100 |
| Concrete block foundation walls | 100 | 100 |
| Stone foundation walls | 50 | 100 |
| Wood pile foundations, treated | 100 | 100 |
| Wood pile foundations, untreated | 30 | 100 |
| Precast concrete piles, square | 150 | 100 |
| Prestressed concrete piles | 150 | 100 |
| Cast-in-place concrete piles | 150 | 100 |
| Steel pipe piles, concrete-filled | 100 | 100 |
| Steel pipe piles, nonfilled | 100 | 100 |
| Steel "H" piles | 100 | 100 |
| Wood with cast-in-place concrete composite piles | 75 | 100 |
| Wood with precast concrete composite piles | 75 | 100 |
| Foundation dampproofing | 50 | 100 |
| Foundation waterproofing | 50 | 100 |
| Excavation/backfill | 50 | 100 |

*Note: The term Replacement Life is synonymous with Design Life.

TABLE TWO

| | 10 | |
|-------------------|--------------|----------|
| | Replacement | Percent |
| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| 0.02 SUBSTRUCTURE | | |

*Note: The term Replacement Life is synonymous with Design Life.

| SLABS-ON-GRADE | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|-----|
| Standard 4" slab on grade floor | 50 | 100 |
| Standard 5" slab on grade floor | 50 | 100 |
| Structural 4" slab on grade floor | 50 | 100 |
| Structural 5" slab on grade floor | 50 | 100 |
| Concrete steps on grade | 60 | 100 |
| COLUMNS | | |
| Wood columns, treated | 50 | 100 |
| Wood columns, untreated | 30 | 100 |
| Precast concrete columns | 75 | 100 |
| Prestressed concrete columns | 75 | 100 |
| Cast-in-place concrete columns | 75 | 100 |
| Steel pipe columns, concrete-filled | 75 | 100 |
| Steel pipe columns, nonfilled | 75 | 100 |
| Steel "H" columns | 75 | 100 |

TABLE THREE

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.03 SUPERSTRUCTURE STRUCTURAL FRAME | | |

| Steel structural frame (includes columns, beams, girders, | | |
|--|----------|-----|
| trusses, spandrels, bracing, and fireproofing) | | |
| | 75 | 100 |
| Reinforced concrete structural frame (includes columns, | | |
| beams, and miscellaneous frame elements) | | |
| | 75 | 100 |
| Precast concrete structural frame (includes columns, | | |
| beams, and miscellaneous frame elements) | 75 | 100 |
| Mand developed frame for shales mante state allots at the | 75 | 100 |
| Wood structural frame (includes posts, girts, plates, studs, | 50 | 100 |
| girders, and built-up beams) | 50 | 100 |
| Metal joist structural frame (includes metal joists and | 75 | 100 |
| | 75 | 100 |
| INTERIOR STRUCTURAL WALLS | <u> </u> | 100 |
| Interior concrete block load-bearing walls | 60 | 100 |
| Interior brick load-bearing walls | 75 | 100 |
| Interior concrete load-bearing walls | 75 | 100 |
| Interior wood load-bearing walls | 50 | 100 |
| FLOOR SLABS & DECKS | | |
| Reinforced concrete floor slabs (includes slab and beams) | 50 | 100 |
| | 50 | 100 |
| Post-tensioned concrete floor slabs | 50 | 100 |
| Precast prestressed concrete floor slabs (may include | | |
| planks, concrete, tees, floor channels, and structural | | 100 |
| concrete topping) | 50 | 100 |
| Noncellular open metal decking with structural concrete | | 100 |
| topping | 50 | 100 |
| Cellular metal decking with structural concrete topping | | 100 |
| | 50 | 100 |
| FLOOR SLABS & DECKS | | |
| Structural wood framing (includes sheathing, joists, beams, | 10 | 100 |
| etc.) | 40 | 100 |
| Corrugated metal deck with light weight concrete topping | 50 | 100 |
| Or many sector description of the sector | 50 | 100 |
| Corrugated metal deck only | 30 | 100 |
| Precast concrete (hollow core) roof slab | 50 | 100 |
| Poured-in-place gypsum concrete over formboards | 40 | 100 |
| STAIRS | | |
| Metal-edged gypsum plank | 40 | 100 |
| Cement fiber planks | 40 | 100 |
| Precast concrete | 50 | 100 |
| Steel pan type, filled with concrete | 40 | 100 |
| Steel tread and riser | 40 | 100 |
| Prefabricated steel form filled with concrete | 50 | 100 |
| Steelframe, precast concrete treads, and risers | 40 | 100 |

TABLE FOUR

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.04 EXTERIOR CLOSURE | | |
| Masonry veneer: 4" brick and 4" block, insulation and vapor | | |
| barrier | 75 | 100 |
| Precast concrete veneer insulation and vapor barrier | 75 | 100 |

| Stucco on metal studs: insulation and vapor barrier | 35 | 100 |
|--|-----|-----|
| Stone veneer, block backup insulation, and vapor barrier | | |
| | 75 | 100 |
| Aluminum panel: insulation and vapor barrier | 50 | 100 |
| Metal panel: insulation and vapor barrier | 40 | 100 |
| Cast-in-place 8" concrete wall: insulation and vapor barrier | | |
| | 200 | 100 |
| Concrete block (standard) 8" wall insulation and vapor | | |
| barrier | 150 | 100 |
| Split-face concrete block 8" wall: insulation and vapor | | |
| barrier | 150 | 100 |
| Plywood siding, texture 1-11 with wood studs: insulation and | | |
| vapor barrier | 30 | 100 |
| Cedar siding, rough-sawn with wood studs: insulation and | | |
| vapor barrier | 40 | 100 |
| Redwood siding, board, and batten: insulation and vapor | | |
| barrier | 40 | 100 |
| Screen louvers, galvanized steel | 15 | 100 |
| Screen louvers, copper | 25 | 100 |
| Storm proof louvers, galvanized steel | 15 | 100 |
| Storm proof louvers, copper | 25 | 100 |
| Air grills, galvanized steel | 15 | 100 |
| Glass screen and metal frame | 15 | 25 |
| Preformed metal screen and metal frame | 15 | 25 |
| Fabric screen and metal frame | 15 | 25 |
| Cast-in-place concrete | 75 | 100 |
| Precast concrete | 75 | 100 |
| Brick masonry | 75 | 100 |
| Concrete unit masonry | 60 | 100 |
| Stone | 75 | 100 |
| Wood | 30 | 100 |
| Metal panels | 40 | 100 |
| Glass panels | 40 | 100 |
| Exterior gypsum board including metal hangers | 12 | 100 |
| Cement asbestos including metal hangers | 16 | 100 |
| Metal panels including metal hangers | 40 | 100 |
| Fixed glazing, frame, hardware | 40 | 100 |
| Operable glazing, frame, hardware | 35 | 100 |
| Single glazing, fixed frame, hardware | 40 | 100 |
| Double glazing, fixed frame, hardware | 40 | 100 |

| | Replacement | Percent |
|---|--------------|----------|
| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| 0.04 EXTERIOR CLOSURE (Continued) | | |
| Reflective single glazing, fixed frame, hardware | 40 | 100 |
| Tinted single glazing, fixed frame, hardware | 40 | 100 |
| Aluminum spandrel panel | 50 | 100 |
| Stainless steel panel | 50 | 100 |
| Porcelain enamel panel | 50 | 100 |
| Weathering steel panel | 50 | 100 |
| Opaque colored-glass panel | 40 | 100 |
| Ceramic tile facing or panel | 50 | 100 |
| Stone facing or panel | 75 | 100 |
| Hollow metal door, frame, hardware | 40 | 100 |
| Solid-core wood door | 40 | 100 |
| Overhead metal service door, frame, hardware | 30 | 100 |
| Rolling metal service door, frame, hardware | 30 | 100 |
| Telescoping metal service door, frame, hardware | 25 | 100 |
| Revolving door, frame, hardware | 25 | 100 |
| Automatic sliding door, mechanism, frame, hardware (2 | | |
| horsepower) | 15 | 50 |
| Aluminum panel, framing, insulation | 50 | 100 |
| Hollow metal panel, framing | 40 | 100 |

TABLE FOUR

*Note: The term Replacement Life is synonymous with Design Life.

TABLE FIVE

| | Replacement | Percent |
|---|---------------------|----------|
| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| 0.05 ROOFING | | |
| Asphalt & gravel built-up membrane roofing, 4 ply- 15# felt | 20 | 100 |
| Prepared roll roofing, 15# felt | 12 | 100 |
| SINGLE-PLY/IRMAS | | |
| Inverted insulated roof membrane | 20 | 100 |
| Butyl rubber sheet roofing, self-flashing | 20 | 100 |
| Neoprene sheet roofing | 30 | 100 |
| Hypalon sheet roofing | 30 | 100 |
| METAL ROOF SYSTEM | | |
| Copper roofing: flat, standing, or batten seam | 50 | 100 |
| Galvanized steel sheet metal | 30 | 100 |
| COATED FOAM MEMBRANE | 15 | 100 |
| SHINGLES | 20 | 100 |
| Asphalt, fiberglass, and wood | 30 | 100 |
| Slate/Cement | 50 | 100 |
| TILES - Metal, clay, and concrete | 40 | 100 |
| PARAPETS, MASONRY, CONCRETE, METAL, WOOD | See System .04 for | |
| | appropriate | |
| | replacement life of | |
| | the material used | |
| ROOF DRAINAGE SYSTEMS | | |
| Gutters and downspouts | 20 | 100 |
| Scuppers, drains | 20 | 100 |
| ROOF SPECIALTIES | | |
| Roof hatches, painted steel | 24 | 100 |
| Roof hatches, galvanized | 40 | 100 |
| Roof hatches, stainless | 40 | 100 |
| Relief vents | 40 | 100 |
| SKYLIGHTS | 40 | 100 |
| Skylights, single and double glazed | 40 | 100 |

| *Note: | The term Re | placement Lit | fe is synonymou | is with Design Life. |
|--------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | | | | |

 TABLE SIX

 Replacement
 Percent

 ITEM DESCRIPTION
 Life, Years*
 Replaced

| NTERIOR FINISHES & CONSTRUCTION Brick partitions, exposed | 100 | 100 |
|---|-----|-----|
| Concrete block partitions lightweight, exposed | 100 | 100 |
| Structural clay facing tile partitions, exposed | 75 | 100 |
| Drywall partitions, metal or wood studs | 25 | 100 |
| Lath and plaster partitions, metal or wood studs | 35 | 100 |
| Glazed partitions, bank-height metal or wood framing | | 100 |
| Glazed partitions, bank-neight metal of wood framing | 30 | 100 |
| Baked enamel steel partitions, demountable, full or bank height | 25 | 100 |
| Vinyl-covered steel partitions, demountable. full or bank height | 25 | 100 |
| Gypsum plain-finish partitions, movable, full or bank height | 20 | 100 |
| Gypsum prefinished painted partitions, movable, full or bank height | 20 | 100 |
| Gypsum vinyl-covered partitions movable, full or bank height | 20 | 100 |
| Gypsum plastic-laminated partitions, movable, full or bank | 20 | 100 |
| Vinyl lined steel folding partitions, manual | 20 | 100 |
| Vinyl clad steel folding partitions, manual | 25 | 100 |
| Aluminum-faced folding partitions, manual | 20 | 100 |
| Enameled-steel folding partitions, manual | 25 | 100 |
| Hardwood veneer folding partitions, manual | 25 | 100 |
| Plastic-laminated folding partitions, manual | 25 | 100 |
| Metal baked-enamel toilet partition: frame, door, and hardware | 25 | 100 |
| Laminated-plastic toilet partition: frame, door, and hardware | 25 | 100 |
| Stainless steel toilet partition: frame, door, and hardware | 35 | 100 |
| Porcelain enamel toilet partition: frame, door, and hardware | 25 | 100 |
| Painted plywood toilet partition: frame, door, and hardware | 20 | 100 |
| Marble toilet partition: frame, door, and hardware | 75 | 100 |
| ALUSTRADES | | |
| Steel railing and handrail, pipe or bar | 30 | 100 |
| Aluminum railing and handrail | 40 | 100 |
| Stainless steel railing and handrail | 50 | 100 |
| Bronze railing and handrail | 50 | 100 |
| Wood railing and handrail | 25 | 100 |
| CREENS | | |
| Wood screen | 25 | 100 |
| Concrete block | 40 | 100 |
| Hollow metal door and frame, hardware | 30 | 100 |

TABLE SIX

| | DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.06 | INTERIOR FINISHES & CONSTRUCTION (Continued) | | · · |
| | Hollow-core wood door with metal frame, hardware | 20 | 100 |
| | Solid-core wood door with metal frame, hardware | 30 | 100 |
| | Hollow core wood door with wood frame, hardware | 20 | 100 |
| | Solid-core wood door with wood frame, hardware | 30 | 100 |
| | Special (security) metal door | 40 | 100 |
| | Plastic laminate wood door | 25 | 100 |
| | Interior paint on masonry | 10 | 100 |
| | Interior paint on plaster | 7 | 100 |
| | Interior paint on drywall | 7 | 100 |
| | Wall paper, light to medium weight | 10 | 100 |
| | Vinyl, light to medium weight | 12 | 100 |
| | Ceramic tile, glazed with organic adhesive | 25 | 100 |
| | Ceramic mosaics, unglazed with organic adhesive | 25 | 100 |
| | Stone veneer | 75 | 100 |
| | Wood veneer, stain, or varnish | 40 | 100 |
| | Oak parquet and block flooring, solid | 35 | 100 |
| | Maple gym flooring | 35 | 100 |
| | Resilient asphalt tile, 1/8 inch thick | 15 | 100 |
| | Resilient vinyl tile, 1/8 inch thick | 20 | 100 |
| | Vinyl asbestos tile, 1/8 inch thick | 18 | 100 |
| | Carpeting, standard acrylic or nylon | 12 | 100 |
| | Ceramic tile, glazed with trim, organic | 25 | 100 |
| | Ceramic mosaics, unglazed with organic adhesive | 25 | 100 |
| | Quarry tile with 3/4 inch portland cement bed | 30 | 100 |
| | Terrazzo, 2 1/2 - 3 inches thick | 50 | 100 |
| | Brick, unglazed pavers | 35 | 100 |
| | Raised access floorplastic laminate 30 x 30 inch panels | 25 | 100 |
| | Raised access floorcarpeted 24 x 24 inch panels | 10 | 20 |
| | Raised access floorcarpeted 30 x 30 inch panels | 10 | 20 |
| | Acoustical tile, concealed zee splines | 10 | 100 |
| | Acoustical tile, exposed 2x4 foot grid with hangers | 10 | 100 |
| | Acoustical tile, mineral fiber, 12x12 inches | 12 | 100 |
| | Acoustical tile, mineral fiber lay-in panels with painted face, | | |
| | 24 x 24 inches | 15 | 100 |
| | Acoustical tile, exposed 2 x 2 foot grid with hangers | 10 | 100 |
| lote: | The term Replacement Life is synonymous with Design Life. | | |
| | TABLE SEVEN | | |
| | DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced |
| 0.07 C | CONVEYING SYSTEM | | |
| | Passenger elevators - high speed, automatic (25 hp; 75% | | |
| | efficiency) | 20 | 100 |
| | Passenger elevators - hydraulic (25 hp; 75% efficiency) | | |
| | | 20 | 100 |
| | Freight elevators - hydraulic (35 hp; 75% efficiency) | 20 | 100 |
| | Single-width (32") escalator (7½ hp; 75% efficiency) | 15 | 100 |
| | Moving walk (4' 0" wide) (4 hp; 75% efficiency) | 5 | 25 |

| Hand-operated du | mbwaiter, 100 | 0 lb | | | | | 20 | 100 |
|-------------------|---------------|------|----|----|-----|-----|----|-----|
| Electric-operated | dumbwaiter, | 5000 | lb | (5 | hp; | 75% | | |
| efficiency) | | | | | | | 20 | 100 |

*Note: The term Replacement Life is synonymous with Design Life.

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 0.08 MECHANICAL | · | • | | | |
| 0.08.01 Plumbing | | | | | |
| PIPE & PIPE FITTINGS | | | | | |
| Black steel pipe, schedule 40, 1/2-8" | 30 | 20 | | | |
| Copper, type K, including fittings and supports. | | | | | |
| 1/2-2" | 35 | 30 | | | |
| Copper, type L, including fittings and supports, | | | | | |
| 3/8-3" | 35 | 30 | | | |
| VALVES | | | | | |
| Bronze gate valves, 3/8-1" | 15 | 50 | | | |

TABLE EIGHT

| Iron body. bronze mounted gate valves, 6" | 15 | 50 |
|--|----|-----|
| Brass tee and lever handle type, 1/2-3/4" | 15 | 100 |
| Hose gate drain valves, bronze 2" | 15 | 100 |
| | 15 | 100 |
| SHOCK ABSORBERS | 25 | 100 |
| Shock absorbers, 3/4 x 4" long | 25 | 100 |
| WATER METERS | 05 | 100 |
| Disk-type water meters, 3/4-2" diameter | 25 | 100 |
| INSULATION | 45 | 75 |
| Piping insulation, 1/2-2 1/2" | 15 | 75 |
| CIRCULATING PUMPS (IN-LINE) | | = 0 |
| Iron body circulation pump, 1/12 hp | 15 | 50 |
| Iron body circulating pump, 1/8 hp | 15 | 50 |
| Iron body circulating pump, 1/2 hp | 15 | 50 |
| DOMESTIC HOT WATER GENERATORS | | |
| Gas-fired hot water generator, commercial, cement lined, | | |
| 70% efficient, 500 - gal/h recovery rate | 20 | 100 |
| Gas-fired hot water generator, commercial, cement lined, | | |
| 75% efficient, 100 - gal/h recovery rate | 20 | 100 |
| Electric-heated hot water generator, residential, glass lined, | | |
| 100% efficient, 8-120 gal/h recovery rate | 15 | 100 |
| PIPE & PIPE FITTINGS | | |
| Cast iron soil pipe, extra heavy (bell), 2-6" | 40 | 100 |
| Cast iron soil pipe, no hub, 1 1/2-2" | 40 | 100 |
| FLOOR DRAINS | | |
| Cast iron flat round-top floor drains, 3-5" outlet | 40 | 100 |
| Cast iron flat square-top floor drains, 3-5"outlet | 40 | 100 |
| Rough brass top funnel-type floor drains, 3-4" outlet | 40 | 100 |
| Cast iron top floor drain with bucket, 3-6" outlet | 40 | 100 |
| AREA DRAINS | | |
| Cast iron area drains, grate, 3" throat | 40 | 100 |
| TRENCH DRAINS | - | |
| Trench drain, light duty, 2-4" outlet - 2' 0" overall | 25 | 100 |

| TABLE EIGHT | | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | Replacement | Percent |
| TEM DESCRIPTION | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| 0.08 MECHANICAL | | |
| 0.08.01 Plumbing (Continued) | | |
| WATER CLOSETS | | |
| Floor-mounted water closets, washdown, and siphon jet | | |
| types | 35 | 100 |
| Wall-mounted water closets, washdown, and siphon jet | | |
| types | 35 | 100 |
| URINALS | | |
| Pedestal-type urinals, washdown, and siphon jet types | | |
| | 35 | 100 |
| Wall-hung urinals, washdown, blowout, and siphon jet types | | |
| | 35 | 100 |
| Floor-mounted urinals, washdown, and women's type | 35 | 100 |
| LAVATORIES | | |
| Vitreous china, wall-hung lavatory, 20 x 18" | 35 | 100 |
| Iron enamel, wall-hung lavatory, 20 x 18" | 40 | 100 |
| Enameled steel, wall-hung lavatory, 20 x 18" | 35 | 100 |
| BATHTUBS | | |
| Cast iron enamel bathtub, 5' 0" recessed | 40 | 100 |
| Enameled steel bathtub, 5' 0" recessed | 35 | 100 |
| SHOWERS | | |
| Terrazzo shower receptor, 32 x 48" | 50 | 100 |
| Enameled steel shower receptor 32 x 48" | 35 | 100 |
| Plastic shower receptor, 32 x 48" | 20 | 100 |
| Aluminum and glass shower, commercial grade | 25 | 100 |
| WASH SINKS | | |
| Iron enamel, highback, single sink, 24 x 48" | 35 | 100 |
| Enameled steel, highback, single sink, 24 x 48" | 35 | 100 |
| Stainless steel, highback, single sink | 40 | 100 |
| Plastic, highback, single sink | 15 | 100 |
| DRINKING FOUNTAINS | | |
| Stainless steel electric drinking fountain | 20 | 100 |
| PIPE & FITTINGS (up to 3") | | |
| Gas and oil | 20 | 40 |
| VALVES & COCKS (up to 3") | | |
| Gas and oil | 20 | 50 |
| PIPING SPECIALTIES & ACCESSORIES (up to 3") | | |
| Gas and oil | 20 | 50 |
| METERS (up to 3") | | |
| Gas | 30 | 25 |
| Oil | 20 | 50 |
| TANKS | | |
| Oil storage | 20 | 100 |
| PUMPS (up to 3") | | |
| Oil | 15 | 50 |

TABLE EIGHT

| | Replacement | Percent |
|--|--------------|----------|
| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| 0.08 MECHANICAL | | |
| 0.08.01 Plumbing (Continued) | | |
| EQUIPMENT | | |
| Gas compressor | 15 | 20 |
| Oil preheater (steam) | 15 | 25 |
| Preheater (electric) | 10 | 50 |
| INSULATION | 15 | 75 |
| 0.08.02 Fire Protection | | |
| Automatic sprinkler system, wet type, | | |
| concealed piping | 40 | 50 |
| Simplex-type fire pumps, 20 hp, 500 gpm, 1750 rpm | 20 | 100 |
| Fire hose cabinets, primed steel, 1 25" hose, recessed | | |
| | 20 | 100 |
| Siamese connection, brass, 2 1/2 x 2 1/2 x 4" | 100 | 100 |
| Roof manifold, brass (vertical), 2 1/2 x 2 1/2 x 4" | 75 | 100 |
| Dry chemical enameled steel extinguisher | 15 | 100 |
| 0.08.03 HVAC | | |
| BOILERS (steam) | | |
| Packaged marine type (No. 2 oil) | | |
| • 40 hp | 25 | 100 |
| • 50 hp | 25 | 100 |
| • 150 hp | 25 | 100 |
| • 700 hp | 25 | 100 |
| Packaged marine type (No. 6 oil) | | |
| • 80 hp | 25 | 100 |
| • 250 hp | 25 | 100 |
| • 700 hp | 25 | 100 |
| Steel-fire box, base, jacket (light oil) | | |
| • 25 hp | 20 | 100 |
| • 160 hp | 20 | 100 |
| • 540 hp | 20 | 100 |
| Steel-fire box, base, etc., packaged | | |
| • 50 hp | 20 | 100 |
| • 170 hp | 20 | 100 |
| • 460 hp | 20 | 100 |
| Cast iron sectional w/jacket, trim, & burner (No. 6 oil) | | |
| 60 hp | 20 | 100 |
| • 120 hp | 20 | 100 |
| • 170 hp | 20 | 100 |
| Cast iron sectional with jacket, trim, and burner (steam and | | 100 |
| gas) | | 100 |
| • 40 hp | 25 | |
| • 100 hp | 25 | 100 |
| • 170 hp | 25 | 100 |
| Hot water (gas) | 20 | 100 |
| Hot water (electric) | 15 | 100 |

| | Replacement | Percent |
|---|--------------|----------|
| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| | | |
| 0.08.03 HVAC (Continued) FURNACES | | |
| | | |
| Upflow, gas-fired • 80,000 BTU | 20 | 100 |
| • 105,000 BTU | 20 | 100 |
| Upflow, oil-fired | 20 | 100 |
| • 85,000 BTU | 20 | 100 |
| • 100,000 BTU | 20 | 100 |
| • 125,000 BTU | 20 | 100 |
| Upflow, electric heat | 20 | 100 |
| 77,400 BTU, 22 kW, 240V | 15 | 100 |
| • 120,000 BTU | 20 | 100 |
| • 140,000 BTU | 20 | 100 |
| Horizontal flow, gas-fired | 20 | 100 |
| 105,000 BTU | 20 | 100 |
| • 125,000 BTU | 20 | 100 |
| PUMPS | 20 | 100 |
| Horizontal split case type | | |
| • 3 x 2 1/2", 1 1/2 hp | 20 | 100 |
| • 5 x 4", 20 hp | 20 | 100 |
| • 10 x 8", 125 hp | 20 | 100 |
| End suction type | 20 | 100 |
| 1 1/2 x 1 1/4", 3/4 hp | 15 | 100 |
| • 3 x 2 1/2", 1 1/2 hp | 15 | 100 |
| • 5 x 4", 7 1/2 hp | 15 | 100 |
| AIR CONTROL | 13 | 100 |
| Boiler fittings | 20 | 100 |
| Air separators | 20 | 100 |
| 56 gal/min (2" screwed) | 20 | 100 |
| 300 gal/min (2" sciewcd) | 20 | 100 |
| 2,950 gal/min (12" flanged) | 20 | 100 |
| Compression tank and fittings | 20 | 100 |
| 15 gal (13" diameter x 34 1/2") | 20 | 100 |
| 180 gal (30" diameter x 70") | 20 | 100 |
| 280 gal (36" diameter x 74") | 20 | 100 |
| AUXILIARY EQUIPMENT (up to 500 ph) | | 100 |
| Feed water treatment | 15 | 100 |
| Deaerators | 20 | 100 |
| Breeching | 20 | 100 |
| Flues | 20 | 100 |
| Draft control | 15 | 100 |
| HEAT EXCHANGERS | | 100 |
| DX | 20 | 100 |
| Water or steam | 20 | 100 |

| TABLE EIGHT | | | |
|--|--------------|----------|--|
| | Replacement | Percent | |
| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Life, Years* | Replaced | |
| 0.08 MECHANICAL SYSTEMS | | | |
| 0.08.03 HVAC (Continued) | | | |
| HEAT RECOVERY | 45 | 100 | |
| All types | 15 | 100 | |
| | 45 | 100 | |
| | 15 | 100 | |
| WATER CHILLING | | | |
| Reciprocating compressor, electric | 20 | 100 | |
| 81 ton capacity | 20 | 100 | |
| Centrifugal compressor, electric | 20 | 100 | |
| 120 ton capacity | 20 | 100 | |
| Absorption machine, steam | 20 | 100 | |
| 230 ton capacity HEAT PUMPS | 20 | 100 | |
| Single package, air-to-air | | | |
| • 24,000 BTU | 10 | 100 | |
| • 48,000 BTU | 15 | 100 | |
| • 60,000 BTU | 15 | 100 | |
| Split system, air-to-air | 15 | 100 | |
| 36,000 BTU outdoor | 15 | 100 | |
| 36,000 BTU indoor | 15 | 100 | |
| COOLING TOWERS | 13 | 100 | |
| Packaged centrifugal blow-through | | | |
| 200 ton capacity | 15 | 100 | |
| Packaged axial flow | | 100 | |
| 200 ton capacity | 15 | 100 | |
| Packaged draw-through | | | |
| 150 ton capacity | 15 | 100 | |
| Ejector type | | | |
| 250 ton capacity | 20 | 100 | |
| CONDENSERS | | | |
| Water-cooled condenser | | | |
| 30 ton capacity | 20 | 100 | |
| Air-cooled condenser | | | |
| 100 ton capacity | 20 | 100 | |
| Evaporative condenser | | | |
| 10 ton capacity | 20 | 100 | |
| PIPE & FITTINGS | 20 | 40 | |
| DIVERTING VALVES | 15 | 100 | |
| FREEZE PROTECTION | 15 | 100 | |
| PUMPS | | | |
| Horizontal split case type | | | |
| • 3 x 2 1/2", 1 1/2 hp | 20 | 100 | |
| • 5 x 4", 20 hp | 20 | 100 | |
| 10 x 8", 125 hp | 20 | 100 | |

| | Replacement | Percent |
|---|--------------|----------|
| | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| 0.08 MECHANICAL SYSTEMS 0.08.03 HVAC (Continued) | 15 | 100 |
| End suction | 15 | 100 |
| • 1 1/2 x 1 1/4", 3/4 hp | | |
| • 3 x 2 1/2", 1 1/2 hp | 15 | 100 |
| • 5 x 4", 7 1/2 hp | 15 | 100 |
| DIRECT EXPANSION SYSTEM | 10 | 100 |
| Refrigerant circulation system | 30 | 100 |
| Pipe and fittings | 30 | 100 |
| Accessories | 25 | 100 |
| INSULATION (piping and equipment) | 15 | 75 |
| PIPE & FITTINGS | 20 | 40 |
| VALVES | 20 | |
| Gate | 15 | 50 |
| Butterfly | 15 | 50 |
| Plug | 15 | 50 |
| OS&Y (outside screw and yoke) | 15 | 50 |
| PIPING SPECIALTIES & ACCESSORIES | 10 | 50 |
| PUMPS | 10 | 00 |
| Horizontal split case type | | |
| • 2-3" size up to 1 1/2 hp | 20 | 100 |
| • 4-5" size up to 20 hp | 20 | 100 |
| • 8-10" size up to 125 hp | 20 | 100 |
| End suction | | |
| 1 1/4 1 1/2" size up to 3/4 hp | 15 | 100 |
| • 2-3" size up to 1 1/2 hp | 15 | 100 |
| • 4-5" size up to 7 1/2 hp | 15 | 100 |
| Distribution systems | | |
| Steam | 20 | 50 |
| Glycol | 20 | 50 |
| Other liquid | 20 | 50 |
| AIR-HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | |
| Single zone with mixing box HW coil, CW coil, flat filter | | |
| 1750-2750 cfm | 20 | 100 |
| Single zone with mixing box HW coil, CW coil, manual roll | | |
| filter 1750-2750 cfm | 20 | 100 |
| Single zone with mixing box, HW coil, CW coil, auto roll | | |
| filter 1750-2750 cfm | 20 | 100 |
| Single zone with mixing box HW coil, DX coil, flat filter | | |
| 1750-2750 cfm | 20 | 100 |
| Roof top unit 1750-2750 cfm | 15 | 100 |
| Single zone with mixing box, HW coil, DX coil, roll filter | | |
| 1750-2750 cfm | 20 | 100 |
| Single zone with mixing box, HW coil, DX coil, auto roll filter | | |
| 1750-2750 cfm | 20 | 100 |
| Four zone with mixing box, dampers, HW coil, CW coil, flat | | |
| filter 1750-2750 cfm | 20 | 100 |

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.08 MECHANICAL SYSTEMS | , | |
| 0.08.03 HVAC (Continued) | | |
| Four zone with mixing box, dampers, HW coil. CW coil, roll | | |
| filter 1750-2750 cfm | 20 | 100 |
| Four zone with mixing box dampers, HW coil, CW coil, auto | | |
| roll filter 1750-2750 cfm | 20 | 100 |
| Air tempering (packaged) | | |
| • 24,000 BTU | 15 | 100 |
| Air temperature (split system) outdoor section | | |
| • 24,000 BTU | 15 | 100 |
| • 60,000 BTU | 15 | 100 |
| Air tempering (split system) | | |
| • 24 000 BTU | 20 | 100 |
| • 60,000 BTU | 20 | 100 |
| AIR TEMPERING (incremental) | | |
| Through wall type, fixed | | |
| 11,700 BTU cool | 10 | 100 |
| 13,300 BTU Heat (HW) | | |
| Through wall type | | |
| 11,700 BTU Cool | 10 | 100 |
| 15,300 BTU Heat (elect) | | |
| Through wall type, remove chassis | | |
| • 14,600 BTU | 10 | 100 |
| DUCTWORK | | |
| Round | | |
| Low pressure | 35 | 50 |
| Medium pressure | 25 | 50 |
| High pressure | 25 | 50 |
| Rectangular | | |
| Low pressure | 50 | 50 |
| Medium pressure | 40 | 50 |
| High pressure | 40 | 50 |
| Plenums | 20 | 100 |
| REGISTERS & GRILLES | • • | |
| 12 x 8" | 25 | 100 |
| DIFFUSERS | • • | |
| 8" Neck | 25 | 100 |
| DAMPERS | 05 | |
| 8" Round | 25 | 100 |
| TROFFERS (Light texture type) | 20 | 100 |
| AIR TREATMENT EQUIPMENT | 20 | 100 |
| HEAT RECOVERY EQUIPMENT | 15 | 100 |
| ANTIVIBRATION EQUIPMENT | 15 | 100 |
| INSULATION | | |
| Cooling (vapor barrier) | 15 | 75 |
| Heating | 15 | 75 |

TABLE EIGHT

| | Replacement | Percent |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| 0.08 MECHANICAL SYSTEMS | | |
| 0.08.03 HVAC (Continued) EXHAUST FANS | | |
| Direct drive, 1/4 hp | 20 | 100 |
| Belt drive, 1/2 hp and over | 20 | 100 |
| VENTILATORS | 15 | 100 |
| MAKEUP AIR UNITS | 20 | 100 |
| | 15 | |
| | 15 | 100 |
| BASEBOARD HEATING UNITS (hot water) | | |
| Radiant, cast iron panel | 30 | 100 |
| • 7 1/4" high | 30 | 100 |
| Nonferrous element | 35 | 100 |
| 4" deep x 36" long CONVECTOR HEATING UNITS | 25 | 100 |
| | | |
| Baseboard panel with 9 1/18" high enclosure 1" Tube | 20 | 100 |
| Free standing or semirecessed | 20 | 100 |
| 24" high x 36" long | 25 | 100 |
| INDUCTION UNIT W/CABINET | 25 | 100 |
| 90-510 cfm | 20 | 100 |
| FAN COIL UNITS W/CABINETS | 20 | 100 |
| 155-215 cfm | 20 | 100 |
| RADIATORS | 20 | 100 |
| Cast iron, free standing | | |
| Six tube, 32" high | 40 | 100 |
| Five tube, 22" high | 40 | 100 |
| Three tube, 25" high | 40 | 100 |
| FINNED TUBE ELEMENTS | +0 | 100 |
| Copper fin-tube | | |
| 48 fins/ft, 1 1/4" pipe | 35 | 100 |
| Steel Fin-Tube | | 100 |
| • 40 fins/ft | 35 | 100 |
| DUCT-MOUNTED COIL SECTIONS | | 100 |
| Duct-mounted coil sections, steam | 20 | 100 |
| Duct-mounted coil sections, hot water | 20 | 100 |
| Duct-mounted coil sections, electric | 15 | 100 |
| RADIANT HEATING UNITS | 10 | 100 |
| Radiant heating units, electric | 40 | 100 |
| Radiant heating units, hot water | 25 | 100 |
| UNIT HEATERS | 25 | 100 |
| Unit heaters, gas | 15 | 100 |
| Unit heaters, electric | 15 | 100 |
| Unit heaters, hot water | 20 | 100 |
| Unit heaters, steam | 20 | 100 |
| Space heater, steam/hot water | 20 | 100 |
| • | 20 | 100 |
| Air curtains, steam/hot water Unit air conditioners with heating | 15 | 100 |

| | Replacement | Percent |
|--|--------------|----------|
| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| 0.08 MECHANICAL SYSTEMS | | |
| 0.08.03 HVAC (Continued) | | |
| Package humidifiers | 10 | 100 |
| Package dehumidifiers | 15 | 100 |
| ROOM THERMOSTATS | | |
| Low voltage heating | 25 | 100 |
| Low voltage cooling | 25 | 100 |
| Line voltage heating | 25 | 100 |
| Low voltage heating and cooling | 25 | 100 |
| Line voltage heating, heavy | 25 | 100 |
| POSITIONAL DAMPER—Motor-Actuated | | |
| Modulating type with external return spring-transformer, | | |
| inspection and 115 VAC | 20 | 100 |
| Modulating type with Internal return spring-transformer, 115 | | |
| VAC | 20 | 100 |
| 1" Modulating motorized valves | 15 | 100 |
| 1 1/4" Modulating motorized valves | 15 | 100 |
| 1 1/2" Modulating motorized valves | 15 | 100 |
| 2" Modulating motorized valves | 15 | 100 |
| UNIVERSAL RELAYS | | |
| SPST, use w/low voltage controls, heat only | 25 | 100 |
| SPDT, use w/low voltage controls, heat or cool only | 25 | 100 |
| DPDT, use w/low voltage controls, heat and cool | 25 | 100 |
| AQUASTATS | | |
| External bellows type, close on pressure drop, 2-50lb range | | |
| | 25 | 100 |
| Remote bulb type, mercury tube thermostat | 25 | 100 |
| Make circuit on drop-line voltage | 25 | 100 |
| Make circuit on rise-line voltage | 25 | 100 |
| OTHER CONTROLS | - | |
| All parts, components, devices, tubing, wiring, and | | |
| accessories necessary to control air and liquid distribution | | |
| systems, components, and equipment | 25 | 100 |
| All parts, components, devices, tubing, wiring, and | - | |
| accessories necessary to monitor, record, or otherwise | | |
| indicate status of any of the components of the distribution | | |
| systems or equipment | 25 | 100 |
| All parts, components, devices, accessories, and equipment | - | |
| necessary to detect and repair leaks and to make | | |
| adjustments, alignments, inspections, and sampling, and | | |
| trial and final HVAC startup | 15 | 100 |

TABLE EIGHT

| | Replacement | Percent |
|---|--------------|----------|
| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| 0.08 MECHANICAL SYSTEMS | | |
| 0.08.03 HVAC (Continued) | | |
| All parts, components, devices, piping or duct systems, | | |
| accessories, and equipment for special cooling or heating | | |
| systems, storage cells, dust and fume collectors, | | |
| deodorizing system, carbon monoxide equipment, special | | |
| sound attenuating equipment, air curtains, paint spray | | |
| booth, and ventilation system | | |
| | 25 | 100 |
| All parts, components, devices, piping or duct systems, | | |
| accessories, and equipment | 25 | 100 |
| 0.08.05 Special Mechanical Systems | | |
| Simplex air compressor, 1 hp with 30 gal receivery | 25 | 100 |
| Vacuum pumps, controls, and accessories, 1 hp with 30 gal | | |
| receivery | 25 | 100 |
| Carbon dioxide cylinders, simplex and duplex | 25 | 100 |

*Note: The term Replacement Life is synonymous with Design Life.

TABLE NINE

| | Replacement | Percent |
|--|--------------|----------|
| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| 0.09 ELECTRICAL | | |
| 0.09.01 Service & Distribution | | |
| 0.09.01.02 Low Voltage | | |
| Circuit breakers, metal-clad drawout, below 600V, all sizes | | |
| | 20 | 100 |
| Circuit breakers, fixed type, below 600V, all sizes | 20 | 100 |
| Disconnect switches, enclosed, all sizes | 20 | 100 |
| Transformers, liquid-filled, 0-750 kVA, below 600V | 30 | 100 |
| Transformers, dry type, 0-750 kVA, below 600V | 30 | 100 |
| Switchgear bus, indoor & outdoor, bare, below 600V | | |
| | 20 | 100 |
| Bus duct, indoor and outdoor, all voltages | 20 | 100 |
| Cable terminations, all types of insulation, above ground | | |
| and aerial, below 600V | 15 | 100 |
| Motor starters, contact type, below 600V | 18 | 100 |
| Motors, synchronous, below 600V | 15 | 100 |
| Motors, direct current, all sizes | 15 | 100 |
| Motors, induction, below 600V | 15 | 100 |
| 0.09.01.03 Medium Voltage | | |
| Circuit breakers, metal-clad drawout, all sizes above 600V | | |
| | 20 | 100 |
| Circuit breakers, fixed type, all sizes, above 600V | 20 | 100 |
| Disconnect switches, enclosed, all sizes | 20 | 100 |
| Transformers, liquid-filled, 500-2499 kVA, above 600V | 30 | 100 |
| Transformers, dry type, 0-750 kVA, over 600V | 30 | 100 |
| Transformer, dry type, 500-2499 kVA, above 600V | 30 | 100 |
| Switchgear bus, indoor and outdoor, insulated, above 600V | 20 | 100 |
| Switchgear bus, indoor and outdoor, bare, above 600V | 20 | 100 |
| Bus duct, indoor and outdoor, all voltages | 20 | 100 |
| Cable, thermoplastic, above 600V | Life | N/A |
| Cable, thermosetting, above 600V | Life | N/A |
| Cable, paper-insulated, lead-covered, above 600V | Life | N/A |
| Cable, other, above 600V | Life | N/A |
| Cable joints, all types of insulation, in duct or conduit, below | | |
| ground, above 600V | Life | N/A |
| Cable joints, thermoplastic insulation, above 600V | Life | N/A |

| | Replacement | Percent |
|---|--------------|----------|
| TEM DESCRIPTION | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| 0.09.02 Lighting Fluorescent interior lighting fixtures, 2 each, 40W tubes | | |
| (20,000 burning hours) | 20 | 100 |
| Incandescent interior lighting fixtures, 1 each, 200W (1000 | 20 | 100 |
| burning hours) | 20 | 100 |
| High-intensity mercury vapor lighting fixtures, 250W (24,000 | | |
| burning hours) | 20 | 100 |
| High-intensity metal-halide (multivapor) lighting fixtures, | | |
| 250W (10,000 burning hours) | 20 | 100 |
| High-pressure sodium vapor lighting fixtures, 250W (20,000 | | |
| burning hours) | 20 | 100 |
| Low-pressure sodium vapor lighting fixtures, 100W (18,000 | | |
| burning hours) | 20 | 100 |
| 0.09.03 Special Systems | | |
| 0.09.03.05 Emergency Power | | |
| Generators, steam-turbine-driven, 1000kW (600 psi @ | | |
| 750 ⁰ F with 4" mercury back pressure) | 25 | 100 |
| Generators, gas-turbine driven, 1000kW | 25 | 100 |
| Generators, reciprocating diesel, 100kW | 25 | 100 |
| 0.09.03.07 Electric Heating, Baseboards | | |
| Baseboard heating units, prewired, including accessories. | | |
| | 20 | 100 |

TABLE NINE

*Note: The term Replacement Life is synonymous with Design Life.

TABLE ELEVEN

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.11 SPECIALTY SYSTEMS | | • |
| CANOPIES | 25 | 100 |
| LOADING DOCKS | 20 | 75 |
| TANKS | 30 | 100 |
| DOMES (Bulk Storage, Metal Framing) | 40 | 100 |
| LOUVERS & VENTS | 20 | 100 |
| ACCESS FLOORS | 25 | 75 |
| INTEGRATED CEILINGS | 20 | 75 |
| MEZZANINE STRUCTURES | 35 | 100 |

*Note: The term Replacement Life is synonymous with Design Life.

| TABLE TWELVE | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 30 | 100 | |
| 10 | 50 | |
| 40 | 100 | |
| 35 | 100 | |
| | | |
| 10 | 100 | |
| 10 | 30 | |
| 10 | 40 | |
| 5 | 100 | |
| 8 | 100 | |
| | | |
| 25 | 100 | |
| 40 | 100 | |
| | | |
| 30 | 100 | |
| 25 | 100 | |
| 45 | 100 | |
| 25 | 100 | |
| | | |
| 45 | 100 | |
| 75 | 100 | |
| 25 | 100 | |
| 50 | 100 | |
| 75 | 100 | |
| 50 | 100 | |
| | | |
| 50 | 100 | |
| | | |
| 40 | 100 | |
| 20 | 100 | |
| | | |
| 15 | 100 | |
| 25 | 100 | |
| 2 | 25 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 35 | 50 | |
| 20 | 100 | |
| | | |
| 40 | 100 | |
| 25 | 100 | |
| | | |
| 50 | 75 | |
| | Replacement Life, Years* 30 10 40 35 10 | |

TABLE TWELVE

TABLE TWELVE

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.12.03 Tunnels (Continued) | | |
| Circular | 75 | 75 |
| Columns | 75 | 100 |
| Beams | 75 | 100 |
| Walls | 75 | 100 |
| Structural slabs | 50 | 100 |
| Joints | 10 | 100 |
| Foundation | 100 | 100 |
| FINISHES: | | |
| Walls | 60 | 100 |
| Ceilings | 45 | 100 |
| Floors | 25 | 100 |
| 0.12.04 Railways | | |
| Trackwork | 10 | 100 |
| Signals & communications | 15 | 100 |
| 0.12.05 Fountains & Pools | 35 | 100 |
| 0.12.06 Security Gates & Fences | 25 | 100 |
| 0.12.07 Landscaping | 20 | 100 |
| 0.12.08 Bridges & Abutments APPROACHES: | | |
| Pavement, asphalt | 15 | 100 |
| Pavement, concrete | 25 | 100 |
| Guide railing, concrete | 25 | 100 |
| DECK ELEMENTS: | | |
| Curbs, concrete | 40 | 100 |
| Mono deck surface, concrete | 25 | 100 |
| Railings/parapets, concrete | 25 | 100 |
| Sidewalks/fascias, concrete | 20 | 100 |
| Sidewalks/fascias, steel | 40 | 100 |
| Wearing surface, asphalt | 15 | 100 |
| Wearing surface, concrete | 25 | 100 |

*Note: The term Replacement Life is synonymous with Design Life.

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.13 Other Structures and Facilities | | • |
| Accelerators. Linear | 35 | 50 |
| Accelerators. Ring | 35 | 50 |
| Air Traffic Aids | 15 | 100 |
| Bridges (Trains) | 35 | 100 |
| Bridges (Vehicular) | 45 | 100 |
| Bridges (Walking) | 75 | 100 |
| Cables, Above Ground (Fire Alarm) | 40 | 100 |
| Cables, Above Ground (Security) | 40 | 100 |
| Cables, Above Ground (Voice/Data) | 40 | 100 |
| Cables, Under Ground (Fire Alarm) | 20 | 100 |
| Cables, Under Ground (Security) | 20 | 100 |
| Cables, Under Ground (Voice/Data) | 20 | 100 |
| Cables, Under Ground (Energy Management) | 20 | 100 |
| Catchall | 35 | 100 |
| Caverns (Oil) | 50 | 100 |
| Cooling Ponds or Reservoirs | 35 | 100 |
| Dams | 75 | 25 |
| Distribution Transformers | 30 | 100 |
| Docks/Wharves | 35 | 100 |
| Electric Generators | 25 | 100 |
| Electrical Cables, Primary | 35 | 100 |
| Electrical Cables, Secondary | 40 | 100 |
| Electrical Cables, Tertiary | 45 | 100 |
| Fencing (Security) | 25 | 100 |
| Helicopter Landing Pad | 20 | 75 |
| Igloos (Explosives) | 30 | 100 |
| Incinerator Plants | 30 | 75 |
| Large Piping (Petroleum Products) | 20 | 40 |
| Laterals (Reclamation) | 25 | 75 |
| Levees/Dikes | 25 | 50 |
| Lift Stations (Sewage) | 25 | 20 |
| Medium Piping (Petroleum Products) | 25 | 20 |
| Metering Stations (Natural Gas) | 15 | 75 |
| Other Boiler | 25 | 100 |

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.13 Other Structures and Facilities | | |
| Other Industrial, Water Wells | 35 | 100 |
| Other, Air Transportation Systems | 25 | 100 |
| Other, Chill Water Distribution System | 30 | 40 |
| Other, Communications Monitoring Systems | 25 | 100 |
| Other, Communications System Lines | 25 | 100 |
| Other, Communications Systems | 25 | 100 |
| Other, Electrical Distribution System | 25 | 100 |
| Other, Electrical Systems | 25 | 100 |
| Other, Energy Management Control Systems | 25 | 100 |
| Other, Energy Research Accelerators | 35 | 50 |
| Other, Flood Control and Navigation | 25 | 100 |
| Other, Gas Distribution System | 15 | 40 |
| Other, Heating Systems | 20 | 75 |
| Other, Industrial Waste/Haz Piping | 15 | 75 |
| Other, Monuments & Memorials | 45 | 100 |
| Other, Other Service Structures | 50 | 30 |
| Other, Paving Structures | 20 | 75 |
| Other, Photovoltaic Systems | 20 | 50 |
| Other, Plants (Industrial Waste/Hazard) | 50 | 40 |
| Other, Plants (Sewer) | 50 | 30 |
| Other, Pumping Stations | 20 | 75 |
| Other, Railroad Transporation Systems | 15 | 75 |
| Other, Reclamation and Irrigation | 25 | 100 |
| Other, Research and Development | 35 | 100 |
| Other, Security Systems | 25 | 100 |
| Other, Service Structures | 50 | 30 |
| Other, Storage | 50 | 45 |
| Other, Storage (Industrial Waste/Haz) | 35 | 100 |
| Other, Tanks (Gas) | 25 | 100 |
| Other, Tanks (Oil) | 25 | 100 |
| Other, Vehicular Transportation Systems | 20 | 100 |
| Other, Water Lines | 30 | 40 |
| Other, Water Storage | 30 | 100 |
| Other, Water Transportation Systems | 25 | 100 |

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.13 Other Structures and Facilities | | |
| Parking (Aircraft) | 15 | 75 |
| Parking (Vehicular) | 25 | 75 |
| Paving | 20 | 75 |
| Pipelines (Old RPIS 502 Entries) | 40 | 100 |
| Piping (Fire Protection Water) | 30 | 20 |
| Piping (Hazardous & Contaminated Waste) | 15 | 75 |
| Piping (Hazardous, Not Contaminated, Waste) | 20 | 40 |
| Piping (Industrial Process Gas) | 20 | 40 |
| Piping (Natural Gas) | 20 | 40 |
| Piping (Non-Potable Water) | 30 | 40 |
| Piping (Other Combustible Gases) | 20 | 40 |
| Piping (Potable Water) | 30 | 20 |
| Piping, Gravity (Sewage) | 30 | 20 |
| Piping, Gravity (Stormwater) | 30 | 20 |
| Piping, Pressure (Sewage) | 30 | 20 |
| Piping, Pressure (Stormwater) | 30 | 20 |
| Piping, Return (High-Temperature Water) | 30 | 20 |
| Piping, Return (Steam/Condensate) | 30 | 20 |
| Piping, Supply (High Temperature Water) | 30 | 20 |
| Piping, Supply (Steam) | 30 | 20 |
| Plants (Chill Water) | 50 | 30 |
| Plants (Coal-Fired) | 50 | 40 |
| Plants (Contaminated, Hazardous) | 50 | 40 |
| Plants (Evaporative Cooling) | 50 | 30 |
| Plants (Gas-Fired) | 50 | 40 |
| Plants (Hazardous Not Contaminated) | 50 | 40 |
| Plants (Oil-Fired) | 50 | 40 |
| Plants (Other Combustible Gases) | 50 | 40 |
| Plants (Process Gas) | 50 | 40 |
| Plants (Sewer, Primary Treatment) | 50 | 30 |
| Plants (Sewer, Secondary Treatment) | 50 | 30 |
| Plants (Sewer, Tertiary Treatment) | 50 | 30 |
| Plants (Stormwater, Primary Treatment) | 50 | 30 |
| Plants (Water Treatment) | 50 | 30 |

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | Replacement Life, Years* | Percent Replaced |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.13 Other Structures and Facilities | | |
| Pol Services for Vehicles | 50 | 20 |
| Poles (Voice/Data) | 45 | 100 |
| Poles/Towers (Electrical Distribution) | 75 | 100 |
| Power Development Dams | 50 | 25 |
| Power Transformers | 30 | 100 |
| Primary Roads | 15 | 75 |
| Primary Tracks | 10 | 100 |
| Pumping Stations | 25 | 75 |
| Pumping Stations (Fire Protection Water) | 20 | 75 |
| Pumping Stations (Natural Gas) | 15 | 75 |
| Pumping Stations (Non-Potable Water) | 20 | 75 |
| Pumping Stations (Potable Water) | 20 | 75 |
| Pumping Stations (Reclamation) | 20 | 75 |
| Pumps (Petroleum Products) | 15 | 100 |
| Pumps (Stormwater) | 25 | 100 |
| Ranges, Rifle/Pistol (Security) | 20 | 100 |
| Return Piping (Chill Water) | 30 | 20 |
| Runways | 20 | 100 |
| Secondary Roads | 20 | 75 |
| Security Lights | 20 | 100 |
| Septic Tanks (Sewer) | 35 | 100 |
| Sidewalks | 25 | 100 |
| Storage (Open Pavement) | 25 | 100 |
| Storage/Diversion Dams (Reclamation) | 10 | 100 |
| Street Lights | 20 | 100 |
| Structures, Industrial, Other | 50 | 50 |
| Structures, Monuments & Memorials | 45 | 100 |
| Substations | 25 | 100 |
| Supply Piping (Chill Water) | 30 | 20 |
| Switching Stations (Voice/Data) | 15 | 100 |
| Tanks (Hazardous Contaminated) | 25 | 100 |
| Tanks (Hazardous Not Contaminated) | 25 | 100 |
| Tanks (Industrial/Not Hazardous) | 25 | 100 |
| Tanks (Oil) | 25 | 100 |

| | Replacement | Percent |
|---|--------------|----------|
| ITEM DESCRIPTION 0.13 Other Structures and Facilities | Life, Years* | Replaced |
| | 05 | 100 |
| Tanks (Other Combustible Gases) | 25 | 100 |
| Tanks (Process Gas) | 25 | 100 |
| Tanks (Sewage) | 25 | 100 |
| Tanks (Stormwater) | 30 | 100 |
| Tanks, Gravity (Fire-Protection) | 30 | 100 |
| Tanks, Gravity (Non-Potable) | 30 | 100 |
| Tanks, Gravity (Potable) | 30 | 100 |
| Tanks, Pressure (Fire-Protection) | 25 | 100 |
| Tanks, Pressure (Non-Potable) | 25 | 100 |
| Tanks, Pressure (Potable) | 25 | 100 |
| Taxi Ways | 20 | 75 |
| Tertiary Roads | 30 | 75 |
| Towers (Chill Water) | 45 | 100 |
| Towers (Security) | 45 | 100 |
| Towers (Voice/Data) | 45 | 100 |
| Transmission Lines 230 Kv | 35 | 100 |
| Tunnels (Vehicular) | 50 | 75 |
| Tunnels (Walking) | 75 | 75 |
| Vaults/Bunkers (Explosives) | 35 | 75 |
| Vehicle Service | 35 | 75 |
| Vehicle Weighing Facility | 15 | 100 |
| Wells (Natural Gas) | 100 | 50 |
| Wells (Non-Potable) | 35 | 100 |
| Wells (Oil) | 100 | 50 |
| Wells (Potable Water) | 35 | 100 |

*Note: The term Replacement Life is synonymous with Design Life.

LCAM PERFORMANCE MEASURES

LIFE CYCLE ASSET MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Suggested performance measures for implementing the Life Cycle Asset Management (draft) DOE Order have been complied in the attached matrix and, as referenced, include existing DOE performance measures and new performance measures developed by the FM-20/Infrastructure Management & Training Program (FM/IMT) Team. The matrix and accompanying narrative on key characteristics represent a starting point for discussion of appropriate measures within a DOE/LCAM context.

The following briefly describes the methodology used to develop and interprets the matrix:

- In general, the matrix is designed to illustrate one or more performance measures for every major topic required under LCAM (except for project management to be addressed separately).
- Each major LCAM Topic (Column 1) is organized into an Objective (Column 2), Criterion (Column 3), and Measure (Column 4). Column 5 cites the LCAM Requirement to which the performance measure applies.
- Column 6, Type of Measure, is used to describe how the measure will be used; e.g., will it measure an output, a process, or an outcome of the performance objective. Of note, in practice the role of measure can change depending on the organization.
- Column 7, Level, is used to describe the organization best suited to apply the measure. Suggested levels are: 1) DOE & Site Senior Management; 2) DOE & Site Landlords; and 3) DOE & Site Program Management. The level assignment also suggests who might be interested in the results of the performance measure.
- Key characteristics, Column 8, includes a brief analysis of the main strengths and weaknesses of each measure. The attached general discussion describes these characteristics and gives examples.
- The final column, Reference, lists sources of performance measurement information. As noted, some measures are taken from DOE sites and adapted slightly to fit the matrix format. Others are industry or industry association measures. Finally, the FM/IMT Team has developed several suggested measures for consideration.

LIFE CYCLE ASSET MANAGEMENT Compilation of Performance Measures

| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Key Characteristics | Reference |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|--------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Topic | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | DOE and | | |
| | | | Percent of surveyed employees | | | Site Senior | | |
| | | | who indicate that physical working | | | Management | | |
| | Maintain assets | Percent | conditions are acceptable or | | | ; | | |
| | suitable for program | improvement | better | | | Landlords; | S-1, -3, -8, -9, | Industry, |
| Overall Quality | needs | after | | 6.f.2;6.f.3 | Outcome | Programs | -11; W-5 | FM/IMT |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Ensures site | | | | | DOE and | | |
| | strategy is | Survey scores | Survey of community's | | | Site | | |
| | developed with | after baselining | participation & stakeholder | | | Landlords; | | |
| Overall Quality | community input | | involvement | 6.d.1 | Outcome | Programs | S-1, -8, -9, W-5 | FM/IMT |
| | | | | | | DOE and | | |
| | | | | | | Site Senior | | |
| | Ensure long-term | | Survey of senior executives | | | Management | | |
| | site strategy is | Survey scores | regarding ability to meet long term | | | ; Landlords; | | |
| | consistent within | after baselining | missions & associated needs. | | | Programs | S-1, -9, -11; W-4, -5 | |
| Overall Quality | mission needs. | | | 6.d.1, 6.e.1 | Outcome | | | FM/IMT |
| | | | | | | DOE and | | |
| | Offenst the immediate | | Net work as of inker an of a s | | | Site Senior | | |
| | Offset the impact to | | Net number of jobs created as a result of initiatives within a | | | | | |
| | the community of | Torget pet | | | | Management | | |
| | right sizing efforts | Target net | specified radius vs. jobs lost due | | | ; Landlords; | | |
| | | number of jobs | to restructuring | 0 1 4 | Outeene | Programs | 04.0.005.0 | |
| Overall Quality | | gained | | 6.d.1 | Outcome | | S-1, -9; W-5, -6 | INEL |

| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Key Characteristics | Reference |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Topic | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | | |
| | Develop an | | | | | | | |
| | integrated | | | | | | | |
| | management | | | | | | | |
| | approach to relate | | Degree to which integrated | | | | | |
| | planning, operating, | | planning, operating, assessment, | | | DOE and | | |
| | assessment, and | | and improvement elements relate | | | Site Senior | | |
| | improvement | | to Baldridge criteria to establish a | | | Management | | |
| | systems | | site standard | | | ; Landlords | | |
| Overall Quality | | N/A | | 6.a, 6.c | Output | | S-11; W-5, -8 | NREL |
| | Customer | | | | | | | |
| | satisfaction will be | | | | | | | |
| | measured and | | | | | | | |
| | monitored to track | | | | | | | |
| | trends and improve | | | | | | | |
| | satisfaction rating in | | Customer satisfaction regarding | | | DOE and | | |
| Overall Quality & | maintenance | Target Survey | timeliness and quality of | | | Site | | |
| Maintenance | | ratings after | maintenance, based on surveys | 6.d.3, 6.f.2, 6.f.3, | | Landlords; | | Industry, |
| Management | | baselining | | 6.f.7 | Outcome | Programs | S-1, -3, -5; W-5 | FM/IMT |
| | | | The number of off-normal | | | | | |
| | | | occurrences, unusual | | | | | |
| | | | occurrences, and emergency | | | | | |
| | | | occurrences attributed to | | | | | |
| | | | maintenance program or work | | | | | |
| | Maintain capital | Minimize | performance deficiencies will be | | | | | |
| | assets to ensure | personal | reported & expressed as a | | | | | |
| | reliable operations in | | percentage of the total number of | | | | | |
| Overall Quality & Maintenance | a safe & cost | programmatic | occurrences in each category | | | DOE and Site | | |
| | effective manner | equipment failure | | 6.f.3 | Output | | S-1, -3; W-2, -4, -5, -7 | LBL, LLNL, LANL |
| Management | | raiiure | | 0.1.3 | Output | Landlords; | | |
| | | | | 1 | | Programs | | |

| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Key Characteristics | Reference |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------|---------|--|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Topic | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | | |
| Overall Cost and Productivity | Manage costs of delivering assets suitable for program needs | Either target percentage or percent improvement | Annualized spending (i.e., expense & capital devoted to planning managing, building, maintaining, deactivating program and landlord facilities at a site) divided by annual program funding at the site | 6.e.2; 6.e.4; 6.e.5 | Outcome | DOE and Site Senior Management Landlords; Programs | S-1, -2, -3, -4, -6, -8; W-5 | Industry FM/IMT |
| Troductivity | liccus | Inplovement | | 0.0.2, 0.0.4, 0.0.5 | Outcome | | | |
| Overall Cost and Productivity | Manage costs of delivering assets suitable for program needs | Either target percentage or percent improvement | Annualized building O&M spending divided by sq. ft. | 6.e.2; 6.e.4; 6.e.5 | Outcome | DOE Site and Landlords; Programs | S-1, -3, -5, -6, -8, W-12 | Industry FM/IMT |
| Overall Cost and Productivity | Reduce costs by outsourcing/privatizi ng as appropriate | Annual cost savings after baselining | Baseline cost less new cost for outsourced activity. Dollars saved in current year due to Privatize/Outsource (P/O) initiated up to 3 years prior | 6.e.4, 6.f.2 | Outcome | DOE and Site Senior Management ; Landlords | S-1, -3, -9, W-2, -5, -6, -8 | FM/IMT |
| Overall Cost and Productivity | P/O contract work & achieve a net cost savings | Based on savings as documented in make-or-buy analyses | Total dollar savings achieved for all work privatized divided by outsources within the performance period. | 6.e.4, 6.f.2 | Outcome | DOE and Site Senior Management ; Landlords | S-3, -9; W-2, -5, -6, -8 | INEL |
| Maintenance | Perform a comparison of maintenance cost as a percentage of replacement plant value | Target percentage after baselining | Maintenance cost as percentage of replacement plant value | | | DOE and Site | | Industry, |
| Management | | | | 6.f.2 | Output | Landlords | S-3, -6, -11,; W-1, -4 | FM/IMT |
| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Key Characteristics | Reference |

| Торіс | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | | |
|-------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| | Maintain capital | | | | | | | |
| | assets to ensure | | | | | | | |
| | reliable operations in | | | | | | | |
| | a safe & cost- | | Preventive maintenance spending | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Maintenance | effective manner | Target | divided by total maintenance | | | Landlords | S-2, -3, -4, -10; W-11 | |
| Management | | percentage | spending | 6.f.2, 6.f.3 | Output | | | FM/IMT |
| | Maintain capital | | | | | | | |
| | assets to ensure | | | | | | | |
| | reliable operations in | | | | | | | |
| | a safe & cost | | Corrective maintenance spending | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Maintenance | effective manner | Target | divided by total maintenance | 6.d.3; 6.f.2; 6.f.3;6.f.7 | | Landlords | S-2, -3, -4, -10; W-11 | |
| Management | | percentage | spending | | Output | | | FM/IMT |
| | Perform a | | | | | | | |
| | comparison of | | | | | | | |
| | maintenance cost | | | | | | | |
| | as a percentage of | | | | | | | |
| | total plant budget | Target percent | | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Maintenance | | after baselining | Maintenance cost as percentage | | | Landlords | S-2, -3, -4, -10, -11; W-12 | |
| Management | | | of plant budget | 6.f.2 | Output | | | FM/IMT |
| | | Target | Percentage facilities for which | | | | | |
| | | percentage to be | minimum acceptable | | | | | |
| | | negotiated | maintenance was performed | | | | | |
| | | based on | during the year, where threshold | | | | | |
| | Conduct | funding and | level of maintenance is facility- | | | | | |
| | maintenance to | other | specific (based on stewardship | | | | | |
| | ensure stewardship | maintenance | concerns, mission requirements, | | | DOE and Site | . | |
| Maintenance | of federal property | priorities | & economics) & documented in | | _ | Landlords | S-1, -6, -9; W-2, -3, -5 | |
| Management | | | approved plan. | 6.f.3 | Outcome | | | FM/IMT |
| | Establish consistent | Landlord and | Development and approval of | | | DOE and Site | | |
| ••• | prioritization | Program Off. | O&M prioritization methodology | | | Landlords; | | |
| Maintenance | methodology | buy-in of | by Landlord and program office | | | Programs | 0.4.0.11.5 | |
| Management | | methodology | | 6.f.6 | Output | | S-1, -2, W-5 | FM/IMT |

| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Key Characteristics | Reference |
|-------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Topic | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | | |
| | | Maximize | | | | | | |
| | | development of | | | | | | |
| | Maintain Capital | maintenance | | | | | | |
| | assets to ensure | management | Number of current year's | | | | | |
| | reliable operations in | program as | maintenance milestones | | | | | |
| | a safe & cost | defined in the | accomplished divided total | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Maintenance | effective manner | contract | number of current year's | 6.d.3; 6.f.2; 6.f.3; | | Landlords | | LBL, LLNL, |
| Management | | | milestones scheduled | 6.f.7 | Process | | S-11; W-3, -4, -9 | LANL |
| | | Benchmark | | | | | | |
| | | maintenance | | | | | | |
| | | costs to provide | | | | | | |
| | | a basis for | Benchmarking data collection: | | | | | |
| | | evaluating | define parameters & collect site & | | | | | |
| | | maintenance | industry data [categories: maint. | | | | | |
| | Maintain capital | program | cost/gross sq. ft; cost. cost/gross | | | | | |
| | assets to ensure | efficiency in | sq. ft; waste coll. cost/sq. ft; | | | | | |
| | reliable operations in | comparison with | snow removal cost/sq. yd.; paved | | | | | |
| | a safe & cost- | industry | area maint cost/sq. yd.; ground | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Maintenance | effective manner | performance | maint. cost/acre] | | | Landlords | S-1, -3, -5, -6; W-12 | LBL, LLNL, |
| Management | | | | 6.e, 6.f.2 | Output | | | LANL |
| | Maintain capital | Planned | The number of planned | | | | | |
| | assets to ensure | preventive | preventive maintenance activities | | | | | |
| | reliable operations in | maintenance is | overdue by 3 months or more | | | | | |
| | a safe & cost | performed as | divided by the total number of | | | DOE and Site | | LBL, LLNL, |
| Maintenance | effective manner | scheduled | planned maintenance activities | 6.d.3; 6.f.2; 6.f.3;6.f.7 | | Landlords | S-9, -11; W-1, -3, -4, -5, - | LANL |
| Management | | | | | Process | | 12 | |
| | Maintain capital | | Cumulative average of | | | | | |
| | assets to ensure | Reduce the | maintenance backlog amounts for | | | | | |
| | reliable operations in | maintenance | each year of the contract period | | | | | |
| | a safe & cost | backlog over the | divided by baseline maintenance | | | DOE and Site | | LBL, LLNL, |
| Maintenance | effective manner | period of the | backlog | | | Landlords | S-3, -11; W-2, -4, -5, -6, - | LANL |
| Management | | contract | | 6.d.3; 6.f.7 | Output | | 11 | |

| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Key Characteristics | Reference |
|---------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|--------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Topic | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | | |
| | Improved cost- | | | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Maintenance | effectiveness of | | Maintenance service labor rate | | | Landlords | | |
| Management | maintenance | N/A | (&/hour, fully-loaded) | 6.f.2 | Output | | S-1, -4; W-5, -6 | LMES |
| | | | | | | DOE and Site | | |
| | | | | | | Senior | | |
| | | | | | | Management; | | |
| | | | | | | Landlords; | | |
| | Conduct effective | Target survey | Survey rating of program | | | Programs | | |
| | site and land use | scores after | customers regarding satisfaction | | | | | |
| Comprehensive | management | baselining | with siting & adequacy of facilities | | | | | Industry, |
| Planning | | | | 6.d.1, 6.e.1 | Outcome | | S-1, -9; W-5, -7 | FM/IMT |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Manage site | Target | | | | | | |
| | configuration to | percentage or | | | | | | |
| | reduce material | percent | Material handling and intrasite | | | | | |
| | handling and | improvement | transportation costs divided by | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Comprehensive | transportation costs | after baselining | total site budget | | | Landlords | S-3, -6, -11; W-2, -7, -12 | |
| Planning | | | | 6.d.2, 6.f.3 | Output | | | FM/IMT |
| | | | Number of items of selected data | | | | | |
| | | Facilities and | elements in the SDP that are not | | | | | |
| | | land are | consistent with the Institutional | | | | | |
| | The Site | managed | Plan CAMP Report, and Surplus | | | | | |
| | Development Plan | consistent with | Facilities Inventory Assessment | | | | | |
| | should reflect | the Site | database | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Comprehensive | current and future | Development | | 6.d.1, 6.d.2, 6.d.3, | | Landlords | S-9, -11; W-1, -4, -5, -6 | LBL, LLNL, |
| Planning | needs | Plan | | 6.d.4, 6.d.5 | Process | | | LANL |
| | Effectively manage | Improve PE and | Percent of GPE & GPP annual | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Comprehensive | capital funds | GPP costing | budget that is costed | | | Landlords | | |
| Planning | | | | 6.d.4, 6.e.7 | Output | | S-2, -4, -9,; W-3 | ORNL |

| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Key Characteristics | Reference |
|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Topic | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | | |
| | | Release 4,000 | | | | | | |
| | | acres (60%) of | | | | | | |
| | | site for general | | | | DOE and Site | | |
| | | public access by | Establishment of agreements to | | | Senior | | |
| | Land-use planning | the end of FY98 | facilitate transfer. Number of | | | Management; | | |
| Comprehensive | & site conversion | | acres released by year | | | Landlords | | |
| Planning | | | | 6.d.1, 6.g.3 | Outcome | | S-1, -2, -3, -6; W-4 | Rocky Flats |
| | | Percent | | | | | | |
| Utilities & Energy | | improvement | Building energy cost divided by | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Management | | after baselining | sq. ft. by class of facility | | | Landlords | S-2, -3, -4, -6; W-5, -11 | Industry, |
| | Conserve energy | | | 6.d.2; 6.f.5 | Output | | | FM/IMT |
| | | | Customer Hour Outages: [(total # | | | | | |
| | | | of customers hours of electrical | | | | | |
| | | | service) less (# of customer | | | | | |
| | Maintain a reliable | Maintain a | hours of unplanned outages)] | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Utilities & Energy | utility system & | reliable electrical | divided by total customer hours | | | Landlords | | |
| Management | conserve energy | service | | | | Programs | S-1, -2, -3, -5, -6, -8; W-12 | LBL, LLNL, |
| | | | | 6.d.2; 6.f.5 | Outcome | | | LANL |
| | | | The reduction in building energy | | | | | |
| | Malatala a sellable | | usage from FY85 levels in BTUs | | | | | |
| | Maintain a reliable | | per gross sq. ft. of building | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Utilities & Energy | utility system & | Managa anarov | expressed as a percent of FY85 | | | Landlords | | LBL, LLNL, |
| Management | conserve energy | Manage energy usage | energy usage | 6.f.5 | Output | Landiords | S-2, -6; W-5, -11 | LANL |
| | Maintain a reliable | usaye | | 0.1.5 | Output | | 5-2, -0, W-5, -11 | |
| | utility system & | | | | | | | |
| | conserve energy by | | The reduction in gasoline & | | | | | |
| | managing traditional | | diesel fuel consumption from | | | | | |
| Utilities & Energy | fuel use | | FY91 levels expressed as a | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Management | | Manage energy | percent of FY 91 consumption | | | Landlords | | LBL, LLNL, |
| | | usage | | 6.d.2; 6.f.5 | Output | | S-2, -6; W-5, -11 | LANL |

| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Key Characteristics | Reference |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Topic | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | | |
| | | Facilities are | | | | | | |
| | | managed | | | | | | |
| | | consistent with | Energy Goals: Goals | | | | | |
| | Maintain a reliable | the site's | accomplished in accordance with | | | | | |
| Utilities & Energy | utility system & | approved Ten- | the plan/goals scheduled to be | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Management | conserve energy | Year Energy | accomplished that year | | | Landlords | | LBL, LLNL, |
| | | Plan | | 6.d.2; 6.f.5 | Process | | S-11; W-3, -4 | LANL |
| | Obtain reliable | Negotiated | | | | | | |
| | condition | based on | Sq. ft. of assets with current | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Capital Asset | information for site | funding and | condition information divided by | | | Landlords | | |
| Management | assets | productivity | total sq. ft. | 6.f.1 | Process | Landiordo | S-6, 9; W-4, -5 | FM/IMT |
| | Develop & | 1 | | - | | | | - |
| | implement a | | | | | | | |
| | comprehensive | | Ratio of facilities that have | | | | | |
| | responsible life | Target | current condition assessment | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Capital asset | cycle planning | percentage | compared to a planned inspection | | | Programs | | |
| Management | process | (100%) | cycle | 6.f.1 | Process | | S-9, -11; W-3, -4, -5 | FM/IMT |
| | | Independent | | | | | | |
| | | condition | | | | | | |
| | | assessment on | | | | | | |
| | | sample assets | Independent estimate divided by | | | | | |
| | Obtain reliable | with agreement | site contractor estimate of dollar | | | | | |
| | condition | + or - 10 percent | amount to repair or replace | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Capital Asset | information for site | | sample assets | | | Landlords | | |
| Management | assets | | | 6.f.1 | Output | | S-6, -9; W-2, -8 | FM/IMT |

| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Key | Reference |
|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Topic | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | Characteristics | |
| | | | FIMS/REAPS: proportion of data | | | | | |
| | | | elements determined to be current, | | | | | |
| | | | accurate, and complete based on | | | | | |
| | | | independent assessment. Number | | | | | |
| | | | of data elements determined to be | | | | | |
| | | | current, accurate, and complete | | | | | |
| | Provide current, | | divided by number of data | | | | | |
| | accurate, and | | elements sampled | | | | | |
| Capital Asset | complete asset | Target | | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Management | inventory | percentage | | 6.I | Process | Landlords; | S-4, -5, -6; W-12 | FM/IMT |
| | | Landlord and | | | | | | |
| | Establish consistent | Program Office | Development and approval of | | | | | |
| | prioritization | buy-in of | capital asset prioritization | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Capital Asset | methodology | methodology | methodology by Landlord and | | | Landlords Program | | |
| Management | | | program office | 6.d.4 | Output | | S-1, -2, W-5 | FM/IMT |
| | Develop & | | | | | | | |
| | implement a | | | | | | | |
| | comprehensive | | Ratio of action plans developed & | | | | | |
| | responsible life | | maintained for poor & failed | | | | | |
| Capital Asset | cycle planning | | facilities based on a condition | | | DOE and Site | | Albuquerque |
| Management | process | N/A | assessment | 6.f.1 | Process | Landlords | S-9, -11; W-2, -5 | |
| | | Real property & | | | | | | |
| | | installed | | | | | | |
| | | equipment | | | | | | |
| | The Site will | capital assets | Number of completed condition | | | | | |
| | effectively manage | will be surveyed | surveys divided by number of | | | | | |
| Capital Asset | capital assets | for condition | condition survey planned | | | DOE and Site | S-9, -11; W-3, -4, -5 | LBL, LLNL, |
| Management | | | | 6.f.1 | Process | Landlords | | LANL |

| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Key | Reference |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|--------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Topic | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | Characteristics | |
| | | Designs will | | | | | | |
| | | incorporate cost- | | | | | | |
| | | effective | | | | | | |
| | | applications of | | | | | | |
| | | energy efficiency | | | | | | |
| | | & research | | | | | | |
| | | energy | Construction designs exceed | | | | | |
| Capital asset | Demonstrate | technologies | federal baseline energy efficiency | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Management | technologies | | guidelines | 6.e.2, 6.e.4 | Process | Programs | S-2; W-3, -9, -13 | NREL |
| | | Either target | | | | | | |
| | Manage | percentage or | | | | | | |
| Operations | transportation cost | percent | Annualized vehicle fleet cost | | | DOE and Site | S-2, -3, -4, 5; W-2, - | Industry |
| Management | | improvement | divided by total site budget | 6.d.2; 6.f.3' 6.f.5 | Output | Landlords | 12 | FM/IMT |
| | | | Number of infrastructure-related | | | | | |
| | | | incidents associated with failure of | | | | | |
| | Reduce | | configuration management | | | | | |
| | infrastructure | | systems divided by total number of | | | DOE and Site | | |
| | incidents related to | | infrastructure-related reportable | | | Senior | | |
| Operations | configuration | Target | incidents | | | Management; | S-1, -5, -6; W-2, -7 | |
| Management | management | percentage | | 6.f.4 | Output | Landlords | | FM/IMT |
| | | Target | | | | | | |
| Real Property & | Effectively manage | percentage after | | | | | | |
| Surplus | real property | baselining | Occupancy cost divided by total | 0.00 | 0.1.1 | DOE and Site | S-1, -4, -9; W-2, -5 | Industry, |
| Management | | | site budget | 6.f.2 | Output | Landlords | 6 | FM/IMT |
| | | New & | | | | | | |
| Deal Droparty 9 | Effectively more re- | reconfigured | Number of estions in complicate | | | | | |
| Real Property & | Effectively manage | space shall | Number of actions in compliance | | | DOE and Site | | laduota (|
| Surplus | real property | comply with | divided by number of total actions | 6.0 | Dragoog | | C D E W 40 | Industry |
| Management | | GSA standard | | 6.c | Process | Landlords | S-2, -5; W-13 | FM/IMT |

| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Key | Reference |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Topic | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | Characteristics | |
| | | Target percentage or | | | | | | |
| | | percent | Space meeting adequacy | | | | | |
| Real Property & | Effectively manage | improvement | standards divided by total building | | | | | |
| surplus | real property | after baselining | space (by space type) | | | DOE and Site | | Industry, |
| Management | | | | 6.c, 6.e.5 | Output | Landlords | S-1, -2, -4, 9; W-1 | FM/IMT |
| | Reduce O&M cost | | | | | | | |
| | by surplusing | Target | Original O&M costs minus new | | | | | |
| Real Property & | unneeded facilities | percentage of | O&M costs after facilities have | | | | | |
| Surplus | | O&M cost | been surplused | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Management | | savings | | 6.f.2 | Output | Landlords | S-1, -6, -9; W-4 | FM/IMT |
| | | | Surplus facilities (actual number of | | | | | |
| | | | facilities surplused divided by | | | | | |
| | Establishment of a | | planned number of facilities based | | | | | |
| Real Property & | methodology to | Landlord and | on the site comprehensive plan) | | | | | |
| Surplus | determine assets | EM buy-in of | | | | DOE and Site | | Albuquerque |
| Management | surplus | methodology | | 6.d, 6.j | Output | Landlords | S-11; W-3, -5 | |
| | | | Surplus facilities (actual number of | | | | | |
| | Effective | | facilities surplused divided by | | | | | |
| | management of real | Surplus facilities | planned number of facilities based | | | | | |
| Real Property & | property in a cost- | according to | on the site comprehensive plan) | | | | | |
| Surplus | effective manner | plan | | | | DOE and Site | | LBL, LLNL, |
| Management | | | | 6.g | Output | Landlords | S-11; W-3, -5 | LANL |
| | | | Number of inaccurate data | | | | | |
| | | RPIS contains | elements identified during real | | | | | |
| Real Property & | Effectively manage | accurate and up- | property inventories divided by total | | | | | |
| Surplus | real property | to date | number of data elements | | | DOE and Site | S-4, -5, -6; W-9, -11 | LBL, LLNL, |
| Management | | information | inventoried | 6.I | Process | Landlords | | LANL |
| | | Site will optimize | | | | | | |
| | | its total primary | Standard: net sq. ft. per person | | | | | |
| Real Property & | Effectively manage | office space | for permanent & leased office | | | | | |
| Surplus | real property | utilization | space. Goal is GSA standard | | | DOE and Site | S-1, -2, -4, -8; W-9 | LBL, LLNL, |
| Management | | | | 6.e.1, 6.e.5, 6.e.6 | Output | Landlords | | LANL |

| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Кеу | Reference |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Topic | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | Characteristics | |
| | | Substandard | | | | | | |
| | | Building Space: | | | | | | |
| | | The site will | | | | | | |
| | | reduce its total | | | | | | |
| | | substandard | Sq. ft. of substandard building | | | | | |
| | | building space | space converted or eliminated | | | | | |
| Real Property & | Effectively manage | | divided by total sq. ft. of | 6.e.5, 6.f.1, 6.g.1, | | | | |
| Surplus | real property | | substandard buildings | 6.g.2, 6.g.3 | | DOE and Site | S-1, -2, -4, -9; W-5 | LBL, LLNL, |
| Management | | | | | Output | Landlords | 12 | LANL |
| | | Real Property | | | | | | |
| | | Information | | | | | | |
| | | System is | | | | | | |
| | | reconciled with | | | | | | |
| Real Property & | Effectively manage | Financial | | | | | | |
| surplus | real property | Information | Number of days for reconciliation | | | DOE and Site | S-6, -9; W-1, -5, -12 | LBL, LLNL, |
| Management | | System | | 6.I | Process | Landlords | | LANL |
| | | | Individual audit of selected | | | | | |
| | | | maintenance budget elements | | | | | |
| | | | based on industry and DOE | | | | | |
| | | | standards and plant requirements. | | | | | |
| | Ensures | Target for dollar | Total dollar of variances, where | | | | | |
| | maintenance | variances after | variance = budgeted maintenance | | | | | |
| | budgets are | baselining | elements minus industry estimate | | | | | |
| Financial | requirements-drive n | | | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Management | | | | 6.f.2 | Output | Landlords | S-9, -10; W-5, -8 | FM/IMT |
| | | | Seek opportunities (including | | | | | |
| | Achieve cost- | Leadership in | electronic commerce) to provide | | | | | |
| | effective and | improving | proactive leadership in support of | | | | | |
| | efficient financial | system wide | DOE initiatives for continued | | | | | |
| Financial | management | efficiency and | contractor systems improvements | | | DOE and Site | | LBL, LLNL, |
| Management | operations | effectiveness | | 6.c | Output | Landlords | W-1, -4, -5, -6, -9 | LANL |

| | | | | LCAM | Type of | | Key | Reference |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|------------------|------------|
| Topic | Objective | Criterion | Measure | Requirements | Measure | Level | Characteristics | |
| | Achieve cost- | | | | | | | |
| | effective and | | Perform best practices | | | | | |
| | efficient financial | | (benchmarking) reviews and | | | | | |
| Financial | management | Benchmarking | identify opportunities for | | | DOE and Site | | LBL, LLNL, |
| Management | operations | reviews | improvement | 6.c | Output | Landlords | S-9; W-4, -5, -6 | LANL |
| | | Implement | | | | | | |
| | | customer service | | | | | | |
| | Ensure financial | improvement | | | | | | |
| | management | program for | | | | | | |
| | practices are | financial services | Implement customer service | | | DOE and Site | | |
| Financial | customer oriented | | improvement plan to meet | | | Landlords; | | LBL, LLNL, |
| Management | | | customer needs | 6.a | Process | Programs | S-2; W-4, -5, -9 | LANL |
| | | | Average delivered cost of | | | | | |
| | Reduce delivered | Target average | electricity KWH per year | | | | | |
| Utilities Acquisition | cost of electricity | cost/KWH | compared to industry costs at | | | DOE and Site | | |
| | | | similar scale | 6.d.2 | Output | Landlords | S-1, -4, -6; W-2 | FM/IMT |
| | | Target average | Average cost for 1,000 gallons of | | | | | |
| | Reduce delivered | cost/1,000 gallons | water compared to industrial costs | | | | | |
| Utilities Acquisition | cost of water | | at similar scale | | | DOE and Site | | |
| | | | | 6.d.5 | Output | Landlords | S-1, -4, -6; W-2 | FM/IMT |

CHARACTERISTICS OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR DOE ASSET MANAGEMENT (SUMMARY)

Characteristics of Strong Performance Measures or Criteria (Discussion follows this summary.)

- S1. Measuring things we care about.
- S2. Simple, but not too simple.
- S3. Negotiated values are only in the criteria, not in the measures.
- S4. Meaningful comparisons possible.
- S5. Measured values are improved only by improved performance.
- S6. Can be reviewed and validated.
- S7. Multiple objectives of compound measures are mutually consistent.
- S8. Measurement is possible and worth the cost.
- S9. Level of detail corresponds to the intent of the measure.
- S10. Can be combined consistently with other measures.
- S11. Recognizes existing site conditions as the starting point.
- S12. Achievable but non-trivial.

Characteristics of Weak Performance Measures or Criteria (Discussion follows this summary)

- W1. Measuring the wrong thing to some extent.
- W2. Complicated or simplistic.
- W3. Measuring relative to negotiated values.
- W4. Not amenable to baselining with comparable facilities.
- W5. Measured value or definition may be easily manipulated.
- W6. Difficult to audit.
- W7. Compound measure with potential inconsistencies.
- W8. Measurement difficult or costly.
- W9. Level of detail differs from the intent of the measure.
- W10. Weighting of measures may not correspond to overall goals.
- W11. Assumes that "more of a good thing" is always better.
- W12. Assumes that "less of a bad thing" is always better.
- W13. Unrealistically challenging or trivially easy.

CHARACTERISTICS OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR DOE ASSET MANAGEMENT (DISCUSSION)

Real-world measures/criteria often do not perfectly fit with the objectives for management of performance. Most measures represent a compromise between feasibility, accuracy, and simplicity. Strong and weak characteristics of measures are identified below, along with examples. These examples illustrate a weakness or a strength. Being used as an example here does not mean that these measures are "recommended" or "not recommended", since a measure which illustrates a weakness may have one or more offsetting strengths which make it a useful measure on balance.

Characteristics of Strong Performance Measures or Criteria

S1. *Measuring things we care about*. The accurately measure or indicate things we directly care about (outcomes vs. outputs), preferably as communicated in a shared vision for the organization. Example - Maintenance service rate (\$/hour fully-loaded). Labor rates directly bear on the issue of performing a function cost-effectively, which is an important performance consideration.

S2. *Simple, but not too simple.* They are as simple as possible, consistent with the need for accurate measurement of performance. Example - Preventive maintenance spending divided by total maintenance spending. This is a simple ratio of two numbers which are readily measurable. The ratio provides a meaningful measure of the emphasis placed on preventive maintenance.

S3. Negotiated values are only in the criteria, not in the measures. They don't imbed negotiated values or targets. Example - Building energy cost divided by square foot by class of facility. This measure is a direct measure of performance insofar as it relates to building energy consumption. The measure itself contains no reference to planned consumption or adherence to schedules (which can reflect performance in negotiation rather than building energy performance).

S4. *Meaningful comparisons possible*. They can be readily compared with 1) other, similar, measures at a site; 2) the same measure for other DOE sites; and 3) the same or similar measures for industry. Example - Average delivered cost of electricity KWH per year compared to industry costs at similar scale. When variables such as location and quality of supplied power are accounted for average cost/KWH can be compared with industry averages and other sites.

S5. *Measured values are improved only by improved performance*. Once the definition is agreed upon, the value cannot be easily manipulated except by performance-related action which forms the objective. Example - Customer satisfaction regarding timeliness and quality of maintenance, based on surveys. Perceived quality of maintenance by customers can only be increased by improved performance.

S6. *Can be reviewed and validated.* They can be quickly and reliably validated. Example - Maintenance cost as percentage of replacement plant value. Both components of this measure can be readily audited and validated in terms of approach and accuracy.

S7. *Multiple objectives of compound measures are mutually consistent.* They relate to one principal objective or a set of mutually consistent objectives. Example - Few of the measures in the table are compound and have this characteristic. An example might be dollars of savings compiled from three sources of O&M activity, where the savings are added together. If encouraging saving in all three areas contributes toward meeting consistent objectives, then this qualifies as a strength.

S8. *Measurement is possible and work the cost*. They are, infact, measurable, and the value of the information is worth its acquisition cost. Example - Survey of community's participation and stakeholder involvement in site strategy development. The implementation of the survey measurement is straight forward, and the value of the information seems high relative to the cost of acquiring it.

S9. Level of detail corresponds to the intent of the measure. They reflect a meaningful level of detail to the customer, and cover areas of interest without substantial duplication. Example - Baseline cost minus new cost for outsourced activity. This is an appropriately detailed measure to address an objective on reducing costs via outsourcing.

S10. *Can be combined consistently with other measures.* They combine with other measures consistently to reflect priorities and the corporate vision. Example - Maintenance cost as percent of plant budget. This type of measure combines readily with other cost-share measures to give a picture of overall performance.

S11. Recognizes existing site conditions as the starting point. They recognize current site realities as the starting point from which change must occur, rather than some idealized starting point. Example - the number of planned preventive maintenance activities overdue by 3 months or more divided by the total number of planned maintenance activities. This takes into account the current status of the facility condition at the site.

S12. Achievable but not-trivial. Good measures and criteria incorporate targets which are achievable but involve a stretch for the organization based on past performance. Example-Most criteria must be developed in a site-specific way, and these are not identified in the matrix (so no examples are cited).

Characteristics of Weak Performance Measures or Criteria

W1. *Measuring the wrong thing to some extent.* some performance measure don't really measure performance that we care about, or they measure aspects of performance that we don't care about *per se.* Such measures may also establish incentives which are inconsistent with our broad strategic goals and vision Example- Number of milestones accomplished as laid out in an Energy Plan, where those milestones reflect process outputs such as reports submitted to DOE, as opposed to saving energy or using it more efficiently.

W2. *Complicated* or *simplistic*. Some measures fail to reflect the tradeoff between accuracy and simplicity - either they are too hard to understand, or they don't rally measure the aspect of performance we think they do, because they over-simplify the problem. Example - The number of off-normal occurrences, unusual occurrences, and emergency occurrences attribute to the maintenance program. The attribution and counting here are complex, although the result may be worth the effort.

W3. *Measuring relative to negotiated values*. Some measure evaluate performance relative to a negotiated criterion or goal value, thereby mixing measure and criteria. This generally ensure noncomparability across measure at a site, noncomparability of the same measures at different times, an noncomparability with similar measures of other industrial sites. Building a negotiated target into a measure tends to measure performance in the skill of negotiation rather than the truce aspect of asset-management we are interested in. Example - Number of Energy Plan milestones accomplished/Number of Energy Plan milestones scheduled. this confounds the measurement of energy performance with that of negotiation performance.

W4. Not amenable to baselining with comparable facilities. Some measures do not lend themselves to comparable-facility baselining, even when the aspect of performance being measure is common across similar firms/facilities. Example - Percentage of inaccuracies in a RPIS database, which is as dependent on the RPIS data structure as it is on the quality of data. This would vary fro site to site, and vary even more with industry.

W5. *Measure value or definition may be easily manipulated*. Some measures can be easily manipulated through re-definition or re-assessment of terms utilized in the measure. Example - Absolute item or dollar

reduction in maintenance backlog. Backlog can be easily revised independent of maintenance activity due to the multiple ways to define it.

W6. *Difficult to audit.* These measures and associated criteria are difficult or impossible for DOE to independently audit. Example - Number of days for reconciliation of a discrepancy between information in RPIS vs. FIMS. Would be difficult to certify that X days were required to achieve recondition, and to define exactly what was required to achieve reconciliation.

W7. Compound measure with potential inconsistencies. Compound indicators can imbed inconsistent objectives or inadvertently set up incentives which are contrary to overarching goals. Example - Material handling and intrasite transportation costs divided by total site budget. Emphasis on cost may encourage improper material handling if compensating measures or controls are not imposed.

W8. *Measurement difficult or costly*. Some measures may be difficult to implement, in that the data required do not readily exist or cannot exist. Or the cost of measurement may be high or even exceed the management value of the information. Example - Independent audit of selected maintenance budget elements based on industry and DOE standards and plant requirements. This process would be expensive and difficult.

W9. Level of detail differs from the intent of the measure. Some measures have a level of detail which is inconsistent with the intended purpose of the measure, or which is inconsistent with the intended level of management. Example - Construction designs exceed federal baseline energy efficiency guidelines. The detail in this measure does not directly linked to the stated objective: demonstrate technologies.

W10. Weighting of measures may not correspond to overall goals. Measures can be weighted relative to one another in ways which do not reflect DOE priorities and management values. Example - No weightings, explicit or implicit, are provided In the matrix. Therefore, no examples are available from it.

W11. Assumes that "more of a good thing" is better. Some measures assume that if a little of something is desirable, than the largest amount possible is the most desirable. Example - A criterion which tries to achieve zero customer-hour outages for utilities. It is generally thought that the cost of achieving this exceeds its value for most applications.

W12. Assumes that "less of a bad thing" is better. Some measures assume that the sheer existence of a standing inventory of needed actions is bad. Example - Reduce maintenance backlog. Reducing a backlog to zero may introduce inefficiencies in making maintenance work assignments and staffing the management organization.

W13. Unrealistically challenging or trivially easy. This involves targets which are unachievable, or which are easily achievable. Either way, the criteria are not effectively encouraging improved performance. Example - Number of actions in compliance (with GSA standard for reconfigured space) divided by number of total reconfiguration actions. This may be too easy to achieve for reconfiguration actions, and this not be a good measure of relative performance in this area.

REFERENCES

"Life Cycle Cost Data," Alphonse J. Dell'Isola and Stephen J. Kirk. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY

"Means Facilities Maintenance Standards," Roger W. Liska. R.S. Means Company, Inc., Kingston, MA 1988